arXiv:0905.2656v4 [math.DG] 2 Nov 2009

Hamiltonian homogeneity on a complex contact manifold

Osami Yasukura

Abstract. The notion of c-manifolds is introduced as a generalization of complex contact manifolds as well as the degree of symplectifications. And the condition of infinitesimal Hamiltonian homogeneity on a c-manifold is established by means of a moment map defined on the symplectification.

0. Introduction.

This paper is concerned with *a complex contact manifold* $(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$, i.e. a complex manifold (Z, J_Z) with a complex contact structure γ in the sense of S. Kobayashi [11]. W.M. Boothby [4, 5] obtained that any connected simply connected compact homogeneous complex contact manifold is biholomorphic to the adjoint variety $Z_{\mathcal{G}}$ of a finitely dimensional complex simple Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ of rank $\geqq 2$ with the canonical complex contact structure (cf. [22]), where the complex contact structure is uniquely determined up to biholomorphisms by T. Nitta & M. Takeuchi [16, Example 1.1, Theorem 1.7] (cf. [15, 2.2.Proposition]), called *a kählerian C-space of Boothby type.*

Table of Contents

0. Introduction.
1. Principal contact bundles on a c-manifold.
2. Hamiltonian vector fields on a principal contact bundle.
References

In §1, the notion of *a c-manifold* is defined as a complex contact manifold (of complex dimension $\geqq 3$) or a complex 1-dimensional manifold. And a concept of *a principal contact bundle with a degree* δ is introduced on a complex manifold as well as a notion of *a symplectification of degree* δ on a c-manifold, which unifies several notions of symplectifications on a (complex) contact manifold [4, 1, 16] such that every c-manifold $(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$ admits a principal contact bundle of degree 1, $(P_\gamma, J_\gamma; \breve{R}_\lambda(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times), p_\gamma; \theta_\gamma)$, called *the standard symplectification* (Lemma 1.2), on which any symplectification of degree δ covers as a $|\delta|$-covering space (Corollary 1.7).

In §2, for a c-manifold $(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$ with a symplectification $(P, J; \theta)$ of degree δ, it appears that the complex Lie algebra $a(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$ of all contactly holomorphic vector fields is $\boldsymbol{C}$-linear isomorphic to the following three $\boldsymbol{C}$-linear spaces (Theorem 2.3): $\mathcal{O}^\delta(P, J)$ of all homogeneous holomorphic functions of degree δ on (P, J), $a^\delta(P, J)$ of the Hamiltonian vector fields of all functions in $\mathcal{O}^\delta(P, J)$, and $\Gamma(L_\gamma)$ of all holomorphic sections of the contact line bundle L_γ on $(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$. In general, for a holomorphic line bundle L on a complex manifold Z and the dual line bundle L^* with the canonical projection $p_{L^*} : L^* \longrightarrow Z$, put $P_L := L^* \backslash 0$ with the restricted scalar multiple action $R_{L^*,\lambda}$ of $\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times := \boldsymbol{C} \backslash 0$ on $P_L|_\zeta \subset L^*|_\zeta$ $(\zeta \in Z)$ with the canonical projection $p_{L^*} : P_L \longrightarrow Z$, so that $(P_L; R_{L^*,\lambda}(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times), p_{L^*})$ is a holomorphic principal $\boldsymbol{C}^\times$-bundle. Let $\mathcal{O}(P_L)$ be the $\boldsymbol{C}$-linear space of all holomorphic functions on P_L. And $\Gamma(L)$ the $\boldsymbol{C}$-linear space of all holomorphic sections of L. For an integer ℓ, put $\mathcal{O}^\ell(P_L) := \{f \in \mathcal{O}(P_L) |\ f \circ R_{L^*,\lambda} = \lambda^\ell \cdot f\ (\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times)\}$. Let $< \eta, \xi >_L$ denote the $\boldsymbol{C}$-value of $\eta \in L^*|_\zeta$ on $\xi \in L|_\zeta$ at $\zeta \in Z$. For any $\varphi \in \Gamma(L)$, put $\iota_L(\varphi) : P_L \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{C}; \eta \mapsto \iota_L(\varphi)(\eta) :=< \eta, \varphi(p(\eta)) >_L$. For any $f \in \mathcal{O}^1(P_L)$, there exists $\Sigma_L(f) \in \Gamma(L)$ such that $f(\eta) =< \eta, \Sigma_L(f)|_{p_{L^*}(\eta)} >_L$ for all $\eta \in P_L$. Then $\iota_L : \Gamma(L) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^1(P_L); \varphi \mapsto \iota_L(\varphi)$ is a $\boldsymbol{C}$-linear isomorphism with the inverse $\Sigma_L : \mathcal{O}^1(P_L) \longrightarrow \Gamma(L); f \mapsto \Sigma_L(f)$. Put $\nu_m : P_L \longrightarrow P_{L^{\otimes m}}; \eta \mapsto \eta^{\otimes m}$ for a positive integer m, which satisfies that $\nu_m \circ R_{L^*,\lambda} = R_{(L^{\otimes m})^*,\lambda^m} \circ \nu_m$ for all $\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times$. Then $\iota_L^m : \Gamma(L^{\otimes m}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^m(P_L); \varphi \mapsto \iota_L^m(\varphi) := \iota_{L^{\otimes m}}(\varphi) \circ \nu_m$ is a $\boldsymbol{C}$-linear mapping such that $\iota_L^m(\varphi)(\eta) =< \eta^{\otimes m}, \varphi|_{p_{(L^{\otimes m})^*}(\eta)} >_{L^{\otimes m}}$ for all $\eta \in P_L$.

Lemma 0.1. *For every positive integer m, ι_L^m is a $\boldsymbol{C}$-linear isomorphism.*

Proof: For any $f \in \mathcal{O}^m(P_L)$, there exists $\Sigma_L^m(f) \in \Gamma(L^{\otimes m})$ such that $f(\eta) =< \eta^{\otimes m}, \Sigma_L^m(f)|_{p_{(L^{\otimes m})^*}(\eta)} >_{L^{\otimes m}}$ for all $\eta \in P_L$. Then $(\iota_L^m)^{-1} = \Sigma_L^m : \mathcal{O}^m(P_L) \longrightarrow \Gamma(L^{\otimes m}); f \mapsto \Sigma_L^m(f)$. □

For a finite subset $\{\varphi_0, \cdots, \varphi_N\}$ of $\Gamma(L)$, *the associated map* is defined as $\Phi : P_L \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{C}_{N+1}; \eta \mapsto \Phi(\eta) := (\iota_L(\varphi_0)(\eta), \cdots, \iota_L(\varphi_N)(\eta))$. Then $\Phi \circ R_{L^*,\lambda} = \tilde{R}_\lambda \circ \Phi$ for all $\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times$, where $\tilde{R}_\lambda$ denotes the scalar multiple by λ on $\boldsymbol{C}_{N+1}$. By definition, L is said to be *very ample* (resp. *immersional*) iff there exists a finite subset $\{\varphi_0, \cdots, \varphi_N\}$ of $\Gamma(L)$ such that the projectivization $[\Phi] : Z \longrightarrow P_N\boldsymbol{C}$ of the associated map Φ is well-defined as a holomorphic embedding (resp. immersion), i.e. the associated map Φ is an embedding (resp. an immersion). For a positive integer m, L is said to be *ample of order m* (resp. *immersionally ample of order m*) iff $L^{\otimes m}$ is very ample (resp. immersional). By abbreviation, L is said to be *ample* (or *immersionally* *ample*) iff L is ample (resp. immersionally ample) of order m for some positive integer m. By constructing a moment map of degree 1, the following result is proved in an elementary way (cf. [4], [23, Theorem 2], [2]):

PROPOSITION 0.2. *For a connected compact c-manifold, if the contact line bundle is immersional, then it is isomorphic to the adjoint variety of a finitely dimensional complex simple Lie algebra with the canonical c-structure, so that the contact line bundle is very ample.*

1. Principal contact bundles on a c-manifold

Let (Z, J_Z) be a complex manifold with the real tangent bundle TZ. And $\mathcal{X}(Z)$ the Lie algebra of all smooth real vector fields on Z. Let $\mathrm{Diff}(Z)$ be the group of all smooth diffeomorphisms on Z. And put

$$\mathrm{Aut}(Z, J_Z) := \{\alpha \in \mathrm{Diff}(Z) |\ \alpha_* \circ J_Z = J_Z \circ \alpha_*\}.$$

For $X \in \mathcal{X}(Z)$, let L_X be the Lie derivative operator acting on a smooth tensor on Z. Put $a(Z, J_Z) := \{X \in \mathcal{X}(X) |\ L_X J_Z = 0\}$, which is a complex Lie algebra with respect to the complex structure $J_Z : X \mapsto J_Z X$. For the complex number field $\boldsymbol{C} = \boldsymbol{R} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\boldsymbol{R}$, put $T^c Z := \boldsymbol{C} \otimes_{\boldsymbol{R}} TZ = 1 \otimes TZ + \sqrt{-1} \otimes TZ = TZ + \sqrt{-1}TZ$ as the complexification of TZ. For $X = X_1 + \sqrt{-1}X_2 \in T^c Z$, put $\overline{X} := X_1 - \sqrt{-1}X_2$, $\mathrm{Re}(X) := X_1 = (X + \overline{X})/2$ and $\mathrm{Im}(X) := X_2 = (X - \overline{X})/2$. Let $T'Z$ (resp. $T''Z$) be the (1, 0) (resp. (0, 1))-tangent bundle of (Z, J_Z) as the $\sqrt{-1}$ (resp. $-\sqrt{-1}$) eigen subspace of $T^c Z$ with J_Z. For $X \in T^c Z$, put

$$X' := \frac{1}{2}(X - \sqrt{-1}J_Z X) \in T'Z \text{ and } X'' := \frac{1}{2}(X + \sqrt{-1}J_Z X) \in T''Z.$$

Then $X = X' + X''$, $2\mathrm{Re}(X') = X$ $(X \in TZ)$, $2\mathrm{Re}(Y)' = Y$ $(Y \in T'Z)$. The holomorphic structure of TZ is induced from $T'Z$ by a complex vector bundle isomorphism: $(TZ, J_Z) \longrightarrow (T'Z, \sqrt{-1}); X \mapsto X'$. Put $\mathcal{X}^c(Z) := \boldsymbol{C} \otimes_{\boldsymbol{R}} \mathcal{X}(Z)$, which contains a complex Lie subalgebra $a(Z, J_Z)' := \{X' |\ X \in a(Z, J_Z)\}$ isomorphic to $a(Z, J_Z)$. Let $\Lambda^r(T^{*'}Z)$ be the r-alternating tensor product of the dual complex vector bundle $T^{*'}Z$ of $T'Z$. Put $\mathcal{A}^{r,0}(Z) := \Gamma(\Lambda^r(T^{*'}Z))$ as the set of all holomorphic sections of $\Lambda^r(T^{*'}Z)$, that is, the set of all holomorphic (r, 0)-forms on (Z, J_Z). Let $\mathcal{O}(Z, J_Z)$ be the $\boldsymbol{C}$-algebra of all holomorphic functions on (Z, J_Z). For any holomorphic mapping $p : (P, J) \longrightarrow (Z, J_Z)$, the $\boldsymbol{C}$-linear extension of the differential

$p_* : TP \longrightarrow TZ$ is denoted by the same letter $p_* : T^cP \longrightarrow T^cZ$. And the restriction to $T'P$ and $T'Z$ is denoted as $p_{*'} : T'P \longrightarrow T'Z$.

Assume that (Z, J_Z) is a complex manifold of $\dim_{\boldsymbol{C}} Z = 2n + 1 \geqq 1$, an odd number. Let γ be a set of local holomorphic $(1, 0)$-forms $\gamma_i : T'V_i \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{C}$ defined on open subsets V_i of Z with $Z = \cup_i V_i$ such that

(C. 1) $(\gamma_i \wedge (d\gamma_i)^{\wedge n})|_\zeta \neq 0$ at all $\zeta \in V_i$ (when $2n + 1 \geqq 3$);
$\gamma_i|_\zeta \neq 0 \in T^{*'}V_i$ at all $\zeta \in V_i$ (when $2n + 1 = 1$); and

(C. 2) $\gamma_i = f_{ij}\gamma_j$ on $V_i \cap V_j$ for some $f_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}(V_i \cap V_j, J_Z)$.

In this case, γ is called *a c-structure* on (Z, J_Z), and $(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$ is called *a c-manifold* with *the c-distribution* $E_\gamma := \{X \in T'Z |\ \gamma_i(X) = 0 \text{ for some } i\}$. When $\dim_{\boldsymbol{C}} Z \geqq 3$, γ is called *a complex contact structure*, and $(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$ is *a complex contact manifold* in the sense of S. Kobayashi [11, 12]. Two c-structures γ, ω on (Z, J_Z) are said to be *equivalent* iff $E_\gamma = E_\omega$. When $\dim_{\boldsymbol{C}} Z = 1$, $E_\gamma = 0$ for any c-structure γ on (Z, J_Z). Let $\mathcal{C}(Z, J_Z)$ be the set of the equivalence classes $[\gamma]$ of all c-structures γ on (Z, J_Z). A mapping $\alpha : Z \longrightarrow W$ between two c-manifolds $(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$ and $(W, J_W; \omega)$ is said to be *an isomorphism* iff α is a biholomorphism such that $\alpha_* E_\gamma = E_\omega$. And $(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$ and $(W, J_W; \omega)$ are called *isomorphic* iff there exists an isomorphism $\alpha : Z \longrightarrow W$. When the dimension of W and Z is non less than three, the terminology of "an isomorphism" (resp. "isomorphic") is also termed as "a contact biholomorphism" (resp. "contactly biholomorphic").

Let $(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$ be a c-manifold. Put

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Aut}(Z, J_Z; \gamma) := \{\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(Z, J_Z) |\ \alpha_* E_\gamma = E_\gamma\}; \text{ and} \\
&a(Z, J_Z; \gamma) := \{X \in a(Z, J_Z) |\ L_X \gamma_i = f \cdot \gamma_i \text{ for some } f \in \mathcal{O}(V_i, J_Z)\},
\end{aligned}$$

where L_X denotes the Lie derivative by X. And *the contact line bundle* is defined as the quotient line bundle $L_\gamma := T'Z/E_\gamma$ with the canonical projection $\omega_\gamma : T'Z \longrightarrow L_\gamma$. For $\gamma_i \in \gamma$ and $\zeta \in V_i$, let $\check{\gamma}_i(\zeta) \in L^*_\gamma|_\zeta$ be defined such that $\gamma_i(X) =< \check{\gamma}_i(\zeta),\ \varpi_\gamma(X) >_{L_\gamma}$ for all $X \in T'_\zeta Z$. Then $\check{\gamma}_i : V_i \longrightarrow L^*_\gamma;\ \zeta \mapsto \check{\gamma}_i(\zeta)$ is a holomorphic local section of L^*_γ. By the condition (C. 1), $\check{\gamma}_i$ is non-vanishing. Hence, a holomorphic local section s_i of L_γ defined on V_i is determined by the equation: $< \check{\gamma}_i(\zeta),\ s_i(\zeta) >_{L_\gamma} = 1$ for $\zeta \in V_i$. Put the canonical line bundle of (Z, J_Z) as $K_Z := \Lambda^{2n+1}(T^{*'}Z)$.

PROPOSITION 1.1. (i) (S. KOBAYASHI [12, p.29]) $\varpi_\gamma|_{T'V_i} = \gamma_i s_i$;
(ii) (S. KOBAYASHI [11, Theorem (2)]) $(L^*_\gamma)^{\otimes(n+1)} \cong K_Z$.

Proof. (i) For $X \in T_\zeta Z$, take $\nu_i(X) \in \boldsymbol{C}$ such that $\varpi_\gamma(X) = \nu_i(X)(s_i|_\zeta)$. Then $\gamma_i(X) =< \check{\gamma}_i(\zeta),\ \varpi_\gamma(X) >_{L_\gamma} = \nu_i(X) < \check{\gamma}_i(\zeta),\ s_i(\zeta) >_{L_\gamma} = \nu_i(X)$.

(ii) Note that $(\breve{\gamma}_i)^{\otimes(n+1)}$ is a non-vanishing holomorphic local section of $(L^*_\gamma)^{\otimes(n+1)}$. By (C. 1), $\gamma_i \wedge (d\gamma_i)^{\wedge n}$ is a non-vanishing holomorphic local section of K_Z. Because of $(\breve{\gamma}_i)^{\otimes(n+1)} = (f_{ij})^{(n+1)}(\breve{\gamma}_j)^{\otimes(n+1)}$ and $\gamma_i \wedge (d\gamma_i)^n = (f_{ij})^{(n+1)}\gamma_j \wedge (d\gamma_j)^n$, the following map is well-defined:

$$(L^*_\gamma)^{\otimes(n+1)} \longrightarrow K_Z;\ c(\breve{\gamma}_i)^{\otimes(n+1)} \mapsto c(\gamma_i \wedge (d\gamma_i)^n)\ (c \in \boldsymbol{R}),$$

which gives an isomorphism between holomorphic line bundles. $\square$

Put $(P_\gamma, J_\gamma; \breve{R}_\lambda(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times), p_\gamma) := (P_{L_\gamma}; R_{L^*_\gamma,\lambda}(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times), p_{L^*_\gamma})$ as a holomorphic principal $\boldsymbol{C}^\times$-bundle on (Z, J_Z) (see, for general notations, §0. Introduction). Let $\pi : T'P_\gamma \longrightarrow P_\gamma$ be the canonical projection. Put

$$\theta_\gamma(X) :=< \pi(X),\ \varpi_\gamma(p_{\gamma*}X) >_{L_\gamma}$$

for $X \in T'P_\gamma$, which defines a holomorphic $(1,0)$-form θ_γ on (P_γ, J_γ). With respect to local trivializations, $\psi_i : V_i \times \boldsymbol{C}^\times \longrightarrow p_\gamma^{-1}(V_i); (\zeta, \lambda) \mapsto \breve{R}_\lambda \breve{\gamma}_i|_\zeta$, it is represented as follows:

(P. γ) $$\theta_\gamma|_{\psi_i(\zeta,\lambda)} = \lambda \cdot p^*_\gamma(\gamma_i|_\zeta).$$

LEMMA 1.2. *Let* $(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$ *be a c-manifold with* $\gamma := \{(\gamma_i, V_i)\}$. *And* $(P, J; R_\lambda(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times), p)$ *a holomorphic principal* $\boldsymbol{C}^\times$*-bundle on* (Z, J_Z) *with holomorphic local sections* $\{(\sigma_i, V_i)\}$. *Let* θ *be a holomorphic (1, 0)-form* θ *on* (P, J) *which admits an integer* δ *such that*

(P. $\gamma)_\delta$ $$\theta|_{\psi_i(\zeta,\lambda)} = \lambda^\delta \cdot p^*\gamma_i|_\zeta$$

with respect to the local trivialization $\psi_i : V_i \times \boldsymbol{C}^\times \longrightarrow P; (\zeta, \lambda) \mapsto R_\lambda\sigma_i(\zeta)$. *Then:*

(i) $d\theta = \delta\lambda^{\delta-1} \cdot d\lambda \wedge p^*\gamma_i + \lambda^\delta \cdot p^*(d\gamma_i)$ *on* $p^{-1}(V_i)$.

(ii) $(P, J; R_\lambda(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times), p; \theta)$ *satisfies the following four conditions:*

(P. 0) $\ker' \theta := \{X \in T'P |\ \theta(X) = 0\} = p_{*'}^{-1}E_\gamma$;

(P. 1) $\ker' \theta \supsetneqq p_{*'}^{-1}(0)$;

(P. 2)$_\delta$ $R^*_\lambda\theta = \lambda^\delta\theta$ *for all* $\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times$.

(iii) $\delta \neq 0$ *if and only if*

(P. 3) $(P, J; d\theta)$ *is a holomorphic symplectic manifold.*

Proof. (i) It follows by direct calculations from the assumption (P. $\gamma)_\delta$.

(ii) The condition (P. 2)$_\delta$ follows from (P. $\gamma)_\delta$, And that $X \in \ker' \theta$ iff $\gamma_i(p_*X) = 0$ for $X \in T'V_i$, so that $\ker' \theta = p_{*'}^{-1}(\ker' \gamma_i) = p_{*'}^{-1}E_\gamma$ on V_i, which is (P. 0). And (P. 1) follows from (P. 0).

(iii) If $\delta = 0$, then $d\theta = p^*(d\gamma_i)$ on $p^{-1}(V_i)$, which is degenerate because of $p_*(\psi_{i*}(0_\zeta \oplus T\boldsymbol{C}^\times)) = \{0_\zeta\}$. Assume that $\delta \neq 0$. Put $\dim_{\boldsymbol{C}} Z = 2n+1$. Then $(d\theta)^{\wedge(n+1)} = (n+1)\delta\lambda^{(n+1)\delta - 1} \cdot d\lambda \wedge p^*(\gamma_i \wedge (d\gamma_i)^{\wedge n})$ on $p^{-1}(V_i)$, which is not zero by virtue of the condition (C. 1). Hence, $d\theta$ is non-degenerate, that is the condition (P. 3). $\square$

By definition, *a principal contact bundle of degree* δ *on* (Z, J_Z) is a holomorphic principal $\boldsymbol{C}^\times$-bundle $(P, J; R_\lambda\ (\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times), p)$ on a complex manifold (Z, J_Z) with a holomorphic $(1,0)$-form θ on P satisfying the conditions (P. 1), (P. 2)$_\delta$ and (P. 3) defined in Lemma 1.2 (cf. [4, §2], [16, Theorem 1.3]). For a c-manifold $(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$, a principal contact bundle of degree δ on (Z, J_Z) satisfying the condition (P. 0) is called *a symplectification of degree* δ *on* $(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$.

Lemma 1.3. *Let* $(P, J; R_\lambda(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times), p); \theta)$ *be a principal contact bundle of degree* δ *on a complex manifold* (Z, J_Z). *Take a set* $\{\sigma_i : V_i \longrightarrow P\}$ *of holomorphic local sections of the canonical projection* $p : P \longrightarrow Z$ *such that* $Z = \cup_i V_i$. *Put* $\gamma_\theta := \{\gamma_i \in \mathcal{A}^{1,0}(V_i)\}$ *with* $\gamma_i := \sigma_i^*\theta : T'V_i \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{C}$. *Then:*

(i) $\{\psi_i : V_i \times \boldsymbol{C}^\times \longrightarrow p^{-1}(V_i); (\zeta, \lambda) \mapsto R_\lambda \sigma_i(\zeta)\}$ *defines a system of locally trivializing holomorphic coordinates on* $(P, J; R_\lambda(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times), p)$.

(ii) *At each* $\psi_i(\zeta, \lambda) \in p^{-1}(V_i)$, $\theta|_{\psi_i(\zeta,\lambda)} = \lambda^\delta \cdot p^*(\gamma_i|_\zeta)$, *so that* $\delta \neq 0$.

(iii) *There exists a non-negative integer* n *such that* $\dim_{\boldsymbol{C}} P = 2n+2$ *and* $\dim_{\boldsymbol{C}} Z = 2n+1$. *Put* $E := p_*(\ker'\ \theta)$. *Then* $E|_{V_i} = \ker'\gamma_i$, $\ker'\ \theta = p_{*'}^{-1}E$.

(iv) $(Z, J_Z; \gamma_\theta)$ *is a c-manifold with* $E_{\gamma_\theta} := p_*(\ker'\ \theta)$, *so that* $[\gamma_\theta]$ *does not depend on the choice of a set* $\{\sigma_i\}$ *of holomorphic local sections of the canonical projection* $p : P \longrightarrow Z$. *And* $(P, J; R_\lambda(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times), p; \theta)$ *is a symplectification of degree* δ *on* $(Z, J_Z; \gamma_\theta)$.

Proof. (i) The holomorphy of the coordinates follows from the holomorphy of the principal action $R_\lambda(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times)$ on P.

(ii) Note that $(\sigma_i \circ p)\psi_i(\zeta, \lambda) = \sigma_i(\zeta) = R_{1/\lambda}\psi_i(\zeta, \lambda)$. By (P. 2), $p^*\gamma_i = \theta \circ (\sigma_i \circ p)_* = \theta \circ R_{1/\lambda *} = \theta/\lambda^\delta$ on $\psi_{i*(\zeta,\lambda)}(T_\zeta V_i \oplus 0_\lambda)$. By (P. 1), one has that $\theta = \lambda^\delta p^*\gamma_i$. By (P. 3) and Lemma 1.2 (iii), $r \neq 0$.

(iii) By (P. 3), $\dim_{\boldsymbol{C}} P$ is an even number, say, $2n+2 \geqq 2$. Then $\dim_{\boldsymbol{C}} Z = \dim_{\boldsymbol{C}} P - 1 = 2n+1 \geqq 1$. By (ii), $\theta = \lambda^\delta \cdot p^*\gamma_i$ on $p^{-1}(V_i)$. Then $E|_{V_i} = \ker'\gamma_i$ and $\ker'\theta|_{p^{-1}(V_i)} = p_{*'}^{-1}(\ker'\gamma_i) = p_{*'}^{-1}(E|_{V_i})$, as required.

(iv) Putting $\lambda_i : p^{-1}(V_i) \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{C}^\times; \eta = R_\lambda \sigma_i(p(\eta)) \mapsto \lambda$, one has that $\eta = R_{\lambda_i(\eta)}\sigma_i(p(\eta)) = R_{\lambda_j(\eta)}\sigma_j(p(\eta))$ for $\eta \in p^{-1}(V_i \cap V_j)$. And put $h_{ij} := \lambda_j/\lambda_i : p^{-1}(V_i \cap V_j) \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{C}^\times$. Then there exists a holomorphic function g_{ij} on $V_i \cap V_j$ such that $p^*g_{ij} = h_{ij}$. In this case, $\sigma_i = R_{g_{ij}}\sigma_j$. Hence,

$\gamma_i = \sigma_i^*\theta = \theta \circ R_{g_{ij}*} \circ \sigma_{j*} = g_{ij}^{\delta} \cdot \theta \circ \sigma_{j*} = g_{ij}^{\delta} \cdot \gamma_j$, that is (C. 2) for $f_{ij} := g_{ij}^{\delta}$. When $\dim_{\boldsymbol{C}} P = 2$: $d\gamma_i = 0$ by $\dim_{\boldsymbol{C}} Z = 1$. By (P. 3), $0 \neq d\theta|_{p^{-1}(V_i)} = \delta\lambda_i^{\delta-1} d\lambda_i \wedge p^*\gamma_i$. Hence, $\gamma_i \neq 0$, that is (C. 1). When $\dim_{\boldsymbol{C}} P = 2n+2 \geqq 4$: $(d\theta)^{n+1} = \delta\lambda_i^{(n+1)\delta-1} d\lambda_i \wedge p^*(\gamma_i \wedge (d\gamma_i)^n) \neq 0$ by (P. 3). Then $\gamma_i \wedge (d\gamma_i)^n \neq 0$, that is (C. 1). Hence, $(Z, J_Z; \gamma_\theta)$ is a c-manifold. And $(P, J; R_\lambda(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times), p; \theta)$ is a symplectification of $(Z, J_Z; \gamma_\theta)$, because of (ii), $E_{\gamma_\theta}|_{V_i} := \ker'\gamma_i = E|_{V_i}$ and $\ker'\theta = p_{*'}^{-1}E = p_{*'}^{-1}E_{\gamma_\theta}$. □

EXAMPLE 1.4. (*Principal contact bundles of degree* δ)

(i) (*Symplectifications of degree* ± 1) Let $(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$ be a c-manifold. By the condition (P. γ) and Lemma 1.2, $(P_\gamma, J_\gamma; \breve{R}_\lambda(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times), p_\gamma; \theta_\gamma)$ is a principal contact bundle of degree 1 on (Z, J_Z), and also a symplectification of degree 1 on $(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$, called *the standard symplectification of* $(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$.

(i-1) Put $\breve{R}_\lambda^- := \breve{R}_\lambda^{-1}$. Then $(P_\gamma, J_\gamma; \breve{R}_\lambda^-(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times), p_\gamma; \theta_\gamma)$ is a symplectification of degree -1 on $(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$.

(i-2) (V.I. ARNOLD [1], T. OSHIMA & H. KOMATSU [18]) As a complex manifold, P_γ is identified with $F_\gamma := \{\lambda\gamma_i|_\zeta \in T^{*'}Z |\ \lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times, \zeta \in Z,\ \gamma_i \in \gamma\}$ by $\mu_1 : F_\gamma \longrightarrow P_\gamma; \lambda\gamma_i|_\zeta \mapsto \lambda\breve{\gamma}_i(\zeta)$. Hence, $(F_\gamma; \mu_1^{-1} \circ \breve{R}_\lambda \circ \mu_1(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times); \mu_1^*\theta_\gamma)$ is a symplectification of degree 1 on $(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$.

(i-3) (T. NITTA & M. TAKEUCHI [16, Theorem 1.3]) Put $L_\gamma^\times := L_\gamma \backslash 0$ with $p : L_\gamma^\times \longrightarrow Z$ and $\pi : T'L_\gamma^\times \longrightarrow L_\gamma^\times; X \mapsto \pi(X)$ as the canonical projections. Then $(L_\gamma^\times; R_{L_\gamma,\lambda}(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}), p)$ is a holomorphic principal $\boldsymbol{C}^\times$-bundle. For any $\eta \in L_\gamma^\times$, put $\hat{\eta} : \boldsymbol{C} \longrightarrow L_\gamma|_{p(\eta)}; \lambda \mapsto R_{L_\gamma,\lambda}\eta$, which is a $\boldsymbol{C}$-linear isomorphism, by which $L_\gamma^\times$ is identified with the $\boldsymbol{C}$-linear frame bundle of L_γ. Put $\theta_\gamma^-(X) := \hat{\pi}(X)^{-1}(\varpi_\gamma(p_*X))$ for $X \in T'L_\gamma^\times$. Then $(L_\gamma^\times; R_{L_\gamma,\lambda}(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times), p; \theta_\gamma^-)$ is a symplectification of degree -1 on $(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$.

(i-4) (*When* $\dim_{\boldsymbol{C}} Z = 1$) Put $P := T^{*'}Z \backslash 0$ with the canonical projection $p : P \longrightarrow Z$, on which the restriction of the canonical complex structure J on $T^{*'}Z$ and the scalar multiple R_λ $(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times)$ on each $\boldsymbol{C}$-linear fiber of $T^{*'}Z$ are well-defined. Then $\theta \in \mathcal{A}^{1,0}(P)$ is defined as $\theta(X) := < \pi(X), p_*X >_{T'Z}$ for $X \in T'P$, where $\pi : T'P \longrightarrow P$ is the canonical projection, so that $\theta = \lambda(p^*dz_i)$ on $p^{-1}(V_i)$ by the local trivializations given as $\psi_i : V_i \times \boldsymbol{C}^\times \longrightarrow P; (\zeta, \lambda) \mapsto \lambda dz_i|_\zeta$ with the complex coordinates $\{(z_i, V_i)\}$ on (Z, J_Z). And $(P, J; R_\lambda(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times), p; \theta)$ is a principal contact bundle of degree 1 on (Z, J_Z), which is the standard symplectification of $(Z, J_Z; \{(dz_i, V_i)\})$.

(ii) (*Hopf-fibration*) Let $\sim$ be the equivalence relation on the non-zero row vectors $\boldsymbol{C}_{N+1}^\times := \boldsymbol{C}_{N+1} \backslash \{0\}$ defined as follows: For $z, w \in \boldsymbol{C}_{N+1}^\times$, $z \sim w$

iff there exists $\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times$ such that $z = \lambda w (=: \tilde{R}_\lambda w)$. Put $P_N\boldsymbol{C} := \boldsymbol{C}_{N+1}/\sim$, the complex pojective space of complex dimension N with $\tilde{p} : \boldsymbol{C}^\times_{N+1} \longrightarrow P_N\boldsymbol{C}; z = (z_0, \cdots, z_N) \mapsto \tilde{p}_N(z) =: [z_0 : \cdots : z_N]$. Then $(\boldsymbol{C}^\times_{N+1}; \tilde{p}, \tilde{R}_\lambda(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times))$ is a holomorphic principal $\boldsymbol{C}^\times$-bundle on $P_N\boldsymbol{C}$. For $i \in \{0, \cdots, N\}$, put $\zeta_i : \boldsymbol{C}_{N+1} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{C}; z = (z_0, \cdots, z_N) \mapsto z_i$. Assume that $N = 2n + 1 \geqq 1$.

(ii-1) By means of the canonical coordinates $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_{2n+1})$ on $\boldsymbol{C}^\times_{2n+2}$, put $\tilde{\theta} := \sum_{k=0}^{n}(\zeta_k d\zeta_{k+n+1} - \zeta_{k+n+1} d\zeta_k)$. Then $\tilde{\theta} \in \mathcal{A}^{1,0}(\boldsymbol{C}^\times_{2n+2})$. And $(\boldsymbol{C}^\times_{2n+2}; \tilde{R}_\lambda(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times), \tilde{p}; \tilde{\theta})$ is a principal contact bundle of degree 2 on $P_{2n+1}\boldsymbol{C}$.

(ii-2) Put $\underline{\boldsymbol{C}}^\times_{2n+2} := \{\{\pm z\} |\ z \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times_{2n+2}\}$ as the quotient space of $\boldsymbol{C}^\times_{2n+2}$ by the fixed point free action $\tilde{R}_a$ of $a \in \boldsymbol{Z}_2 := \{1, -1\}$ on $\boldsymbol{C}^\times_{2n+2}$ with the canonical projection $\mu_2 : \boldsymbol{C}^\times_{2n+2} \longrightarrow \underline{\boldsymbol{C}}^\times_{2n+2}; z \mapsto \{\pm z\}$. Put $\underline{R}_\lambda\{\pm z\} := \{\pm\sqrt{\lambda} z\}$, $\underline{p} : \underline{\boldsymbol{C}}^\times_{2n+2} \longrightarrow P_{2n+1}\boldsymbol{C}; \{\pm z\} \mapsto \tilde{p}(z)$. Then $\underline{R}_{\lambda^2} \circ \mu_2 = \mu_2 \circ \tilde{R}_\lambda$, $\underline{p} \circ \mu_2 = \tilde{p}$. And $(\underline{\boldsymbol{C}}^\times_{2n+2}; \underline{p}, \underline{R}_\lambda(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times))$ is a holomorphic principal $\boldsymbol{C}^\times$-bundle on $P_{2n+1}\boldsymbol{C}$. Because of $\tilde{R}^*_a\tilde{\theta} = a^2\tilde{\theta} = \tilde{\theta}$ $(a \in \boldsymbol{Z}_2)$, there exists a holomorphic $(1,0)$-form $\underline{\theta}$ on $\underline{\boldsymbol{C}}^\times_{2n+2}$ such that $\mu_2^*\underline{\theta} = \tilde{\theta}$. In this case, $\underline{\theta}$ satisfies the conditions (P. 1) and (P. 3) because of (P. 1) and (P. 3) on $\tilde{\theta}$. And $\mu_2^*(\underline{R}^*_{\lambda^2}\underline{\theta}) = \tilde{R}^*_\lambda(\mu_2^*\underline{\theta}) = \tilde{R}^*_\lambda\tilde{\theta} = \lambda^2\tilde{\theta} = \mu_2^*(\lambda^2\underline{\theta})$ because of (P. 2) on $\tilde{\theta}$. Since μ_{2*} is bijective at each tangent space, $R^*_{\lambda^2}\underline{\theta} = \lambda^2\underline{\theta}$ for $\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times$, that is (P. 2) on $\underline{\theta}$. Hence, $(\underline{\boldsymbol{C}}^\times_{2n+2}; \underline{R}_\lambda(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times), \underline{p}; \underline{\theta})$ is a principal contact bundle of degree 1 on $P_{2n+1}\boldsymbol{C}$.

(iii) (*Adjoint varieties*) Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a complex simple Lie algebra with the product $[X, Y]$ of $X, Y \in \mathcal{G}$, a Cartan subalgebra $\mathcal{H}$, the set $\triangle$ of all roots, and the root space $\mathcal{G}_\alpha := \{X \in \mathcal{G} | [H, X] = \alpha(H)X\ (H \in \mathcal{H})\}$ of $\alpha \in \triangle$. Since the Killing form $B_\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{C}$ is non-degenerate on $\mathcal{H}$ [7, (2.2.2)], $h_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}$ for $\alpha \in \triangle$ is defined as $B_\mathcal{G}(h_\alpha, H) = \alpha(H)$ for all $H \in \mathcal{H}$. Then $\mathcal{H}_{\boldsymbol{R}} := \oplus_{\alpha \in \triangle}\boldsymbol{R}h_\alpha$ is a real form of $\mathcal{H}$, on which $B_\mathcal{G}$ is positive-definite [7, (2.3.4)]. By H. Weyl, there exists $e_\alpha \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha$ such that $[e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha}] = -h_\alpha$ and $[e_\alpha, e_\beta] = N_{\alpha,\beta}\ e_{\alpha+\beta}$ with $\boldsymbol{R} \ni N_{\alpha,\beta} = N_{-\alpha,-\beta}$, $B_\mathcal{G}(H, e_\alpha) = 0$ and $B_\mathcal{G}(e_\alpha, e_\beta) = -r_{\alpha,-\beta}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \triangle$, $H \in \mathcal{H}$ [7, (2.2.5), (2.6.4), (2.6.5), p.171], so that $\mathcal{U} := \{H + \sum_{\alpha \in \triangle} x_\alpha e_\alpha |\ H \in \sqrt{-1}\mathcal{H}_{\boldsymbol{R}},\ \boldsymbol{C} \ni \overline{x_\alpha} = x_{-\alpha}\}$ is a compact real form of $\mathcal{G}$. Take a lexicographic ordering on $\mathcal{H}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ with $\triangle_+ := \{\alpha \in \triangle |\ \alpha > 0\}$. Let ρ be the highest root in $\triangle_+$ with $H_\rho := \frac{2}{B_\mathcal{G}(h_\rho, h_\rho)}h_\rho$. Then $\mathcal{G} = \oplus_{i \in \boldsymbol{Z}}\mathcal{G}_i$ for $\mathcal{G}_i := \{X \in \mathcal{G} |\ [H_\rho, X] = iX\}$ with $\mathcal{G}_i = \{0\}$ ($|i| \geqq 3$), $\mathcal{G}_{\pm 2} = \mathcal{G}_{\pm\rho}$, $\mathcal{G}_{\pm 1} = \oplus_{\alpha(H_\rho) = \pm 1}\mathcal{G}_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{G}_0 = \mathcal{H} \oplus (\oplus_{\alpha(H_\rho)=0}\mathcal{G}_\alpha)$ as a $\boldsymbol{Z}$-graded Lie algebra [22, 4.2.Theorem] (cf. [8]). Put $\mathcal{L} := \{Y \in \mathcal{G} |\ [Y, \mathcal{G}_\rho] \subseteqq \mathcal{G}_\rho\} = \oplus_{i=0,1,2}\ \mathcal{G}_i$, $\mathcal{L}_0 := \{X \in \mathcal{G} | [X, \mathcal{G}_\rho] = 0\}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{00} := \mathcal{G}_0 \cap \mathcal{L}_0$. Then $\mathcal{G}_0 = \boldsymbol{C}H_\rho \oplus \mathcal{G}_{00}$, $\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{G}_{00} \oplus \mathcal{G}_1 \oplus \mathcal{G}_2 \lhd \mathcal{L} = \boldsymbol{C}H_\rho \oplus \mathcal{L}_0$

and $\mathcal{G}_{00} = \{\sum_i [X_{-i}, X_{+i}] |\ X_{\pm i} \in \mathcal{G}_{\pm 1}\}$ (cf. [9, Lemma 1]).

Let $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{G})$ be the associative $\boldsymbol{C}$-algebra of all $\boldsymbol{C}$-linear endomorphisms on $\mathcal{G}$ and $GL(\mathcal{G})$ the group of all non-singular elements in $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{G})$ with the identity transformation $1 : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}; X \mapsto X$ and the exponential mapping $\exp : \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{G}) \longrightarrow GL(\mathcal{G}); f \mapsto e^f := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^n}{n!}$. Put $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{G}) := \{\alpha \in GL(\mathcal{G}) |\ \alpha[X, Y] = [\alpha X, \alpha Y]\}$, G the identity connected component of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{G})$, and $\exp_G := \exp \circ \mathrm{ad} : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow GL(\mathcal{G}); X \mapsto e^{\mathrm{ad}(X)}$, where $\mathrm{ad} : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{G}); X \mapsto \mathrm{ad}(X)$ is defined by $\mathrm{ad}(X)Y := [X, Y]$ for $Y \in \mathcal{G}$. For $g \in G$, put $L_g, R_g : G \longrightarrow G$ such as $L_g(f) := gf$, $R_g(f) := fg$ $(f \in G)$, and that $A_g := L_g \circ R_{g^{-1}}$ with $\exp_G(gY) = A_g(\exp_G(Y))$. For $Y \in \mathcal{G}$, put $Y^L := \frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} L_{\exp_G(tY)}$ and $Y^R := \frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} R_{\exp_G(tY)}$ as smooth vector fields on G. Because of $\exp_G(tY)g = gA_{g^{-1}}(\exp_G(tY)) = g\exp_G(tg^{-1}Y)$,

$$Y^L|_g = (g^{-1}Y)^R|_g \tag{1}$$

at each $g \in G$. Moreover, $(dA_g)Y^R = (gY)^R$, $(dL_g)Y^R = Y^R$, $(dR_g)Y^R = (dA_{g^{-1}})(dL_{g^{-1}})Y^R = (g^{-1}Y)^R$, $(d\nu)Y^R = (-Y)^L$, $[X^R, Y^R] = [X, Y]^R$ and $[X^L, Y^L] = (-[X, Y])^L$. Let J_G be a smooth section of $\mathrm{End}_{\boldsymbol{R}}(TG)$ given by $J_G X^R := (\sqrt{-1}X)^R$. Put $S_J(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) := [\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}] + J[J\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}] + J[\tilde{X}, J\tilde{Y}] - [J\tilde{X}, J\tilde{Y}]$ with $\tilde{X} := X, X^R$; $\tilde{Y} := Y, Y^R$; and $J := J_G, \sqrt{-1}$; respectively. Then $S_{J_G}(X^R, Y^R) = S_{\sqrt{-1}}(X, Y)^R = 0^R = 0$. Hence, J_G is a complex structure on G. By the equation (1),

$$J_G Y^L\big|_g = J_G (g^{-1}Y)^R\big|_g = (\sqrt{-1}g^{-1}Y)^R\big|_g = (\sqrt{-1}Y)^L\big|_g, \tag{2}$$

$(dL_f)J_G Y^R = (\sqrt{-1}Y)^R = J_G(dL_f)Y^R$, $(dR_f)J_G Y^R = (\sqrt{-1}f^{-1}Y)^R = J_G(dR_f)Y^R$, $(d\nu)J_G Y^R = (-\sqrt{-1}Y)^L = J_G(-Y)^L = J_G(d\nu)Y^R$, so that (G, J_G) is a complex Lie group. By Campbell-Hausdorff-Dynkin formula [19, p.29], $Y^R|_{\exp_G(X)} = \frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} \exp_G(X)\exp_G(tY) = \frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} \exp_G(X + t\beta_X(Y)) \in T_{\exp_G(X)}G$ for some $\boldsymbol{C}$-linear mapping $\beta_X : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}; Y \mapsto \beta_X(Y)$. Then β_X is surjective since $\exp_G : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow G$ is locally diffeomorphic. For $X, Z \in \mathcal{G}$, put $g = \exp_G(X)$ and $Y \in \mathcal{G}$ such as $Z = \beta_X(Y)$. Then $\frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} \exp_G(X + tZ) = \frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} \exp_G(X + t\beta_X(Y)) = Y^R|_g$ and $J_G Y^R|_g = (\sqrt{-1}Y)^R|_g = \frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} \exp_G(X + t\beta_X(\sqrt{-1}Y)) = \frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} \exp_G(X + t\sqrt{-1}Z)$. Hence, $\exp_G : (\mathcal{G}, \sqrt{-1}) \longrightarrow (G, J_G)$ is holomorphic, so that

$$\phi_g := L_g \circ \exp_G : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow G; X \mapsto g \cdot \exp_G(X) = g \cdot e^{\mathrm{ad}X}$$

gives holomorphic local coordinates of G around $g \in G$, and that (G, J_G) is a complex submanifold of $(\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{G}), \sqrt{-1}\cdot)$ by the canonical embedding,

$\iota_G : G \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{G}); g \mapsto g$, since $\iota_G \circ \phi_g : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{G}); X \mapsto g \cdot e^{\mathrm{ad}X}$ is holomorphic. Then $\pi_P : (G, J_G) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{G}, \sqrt{-1}\cdot); g \mapsto ge_\rho$ and $\pi_Z := p_{\mathcal{G}} \circ \pi_P : (G, J_G) \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{C}P(\mathcal{G}); g \mapsto g\mathcal{G}_\rho$ are holomorphic, where $\boldsymbol{C}P(\mathcal{G})$ is the complex projective space of $\mathcal{G}$ with the canonical projection $p_{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{G}\backslash 0 \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{C}P(\mathcal{G})$. Put $P_{\mathcal{G}} := \pi_P(G) \subsetneqq \mathcal{G}$ and $Z_{\mathcal{G}} := \pi_Z(G)$ such that $Z_{\mathcal{G}} = p_{\mathcal{G}}(P_{\mathcal{G}})$. In this case, $P_{\mathcal{G}}$ is a G-homogeneous affine algebraic variety in $\mathcal{G}$ with the complex structure $J_P := \sqrt{-1} \cdot |_{TP_{\mathcal{G}}}$, and that $Z_{\mathcal{G}}$ is a G-homogeneous projective algebraic variety with the complex structure J_Z such that $p_{\mathcal{G}}^* J_Z = J_P$, called *the adjoint variety of* $\mathcal{G}$ (cf. [8, Theorem 3.1]).

(iii-0) Put $L := \{\alpha \in G |\ \alpha\mathcal{G}_\rho = \mathcal{G}_\rho\}$ with $\pi_{G/L} : G \longrightarrow G/L; g \mapsto gL$. Then L is a complex algebraic subgroup of G corresponding to $\mathcal{L}$. Put $L_0 := \{\alpha \in G |\ \alpha e_\rho = e_\rho\}$ with $\pi_{G/L_0} : G \longrightarrow G/L_0; g \mapsto gL_0$. Because of $R_{f*} \circ J_G = J_G \circ R_{f*}$ $(f \in L)$, there are complex structures J_{G/L_0}, $J_{G/L}$ on $G/L_0, G/L$ such that $J_{G/L_0} \circ \pi_{G/L_0*} = \pi_{G/L_0*} \circ J_G$, $J_{G/L} \circ \pi_{G/L*} = \pi_{G/L*} \circ J_G$, respectively. Put $\hat{p} : (G/L_0, J_{G/L_0}) \longrightarrow (G/L, J_{G/L})$ such that $\pi_{G/L} = \hat{p} \circ \pi_{G/L_0}$. Then $\hat{p}_* \circ J_{G/L_0} = J_{G/L} \circ \hat{p}_*$. Put $\iota_{G/L_0} : (G/L_0, J_{G/L_0}) \longrightarrow (P_{\mathcal{G}}, J_P)$ and $\iota_{G/L} : (G/L, J_{G/L}) \longrightarrow (Z_{\mathcal{G}}, J_Z)$ such that $\iota_{G/L_0} \circ \pi_{G/L_0} = \pi_P$ and $\iota_{G/L} \circ \pi_{G/L} = \pi_Z$. Then $\iota_{G/L_0*} \circ J_{G/L_0} \circ \pi_{G/L_0*} = \pi_{P*} \circ J_G = \sqrt{-1} \cdot \circ \pi_{P*} = J_P \circ \iota_{G/L_0*} \circ \pi_{G/L_0*}$, so that ι_{G/L_0} is biholomorphic. By $\iota_{G/L} \circ \hat{p} \circ \pi_{G/L_0} = p_{\mathcal{G}} \circ \iota_{G/L_0} \circ \pi_{G/L_0}$, one has that $\iota_{G/L}$ is also biholomorphic. Put

$$\mathcal{G}_- := \mathcal{G}_{-2} \oplus \mathcal{G}_{-1} \subset \mathcal{N} := \mathcal{G}_- \oplus \boldsymbol{C}H_\rho. \tag{3}$$

Then $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_- \oplus \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{L}_0$, so that there is an open neighbourhood N_0 of 0 in $\mathcal{N}$ such that the mapping, $\phi_{g,L_0} : N_0 \longrightarrow G/L_0; X \mapsto g \exp_G(X)L_0$, gives holomorphic local coordinates around $gL_0 \in G/L_0$ with the frame

$$u_g : \mathcal{N} \longrightarrow T_{gL_0}(G/L_0); Y \mapsto \pi_{G/L_0*g} Y^R. \tag{4}$$

Because of $\hat{p}_*(u_g H_\rho) = \hat{p}_*(\pi_{G/L_0*g} H_\rho^R) = \pi_{G/L*g} H_\rho^R = 0$, one has that

$$\hat{p}_*^{-1}(0) = \pi_{G/L_0*}(\boldsymbol{C}H_\rho^R). \tag{5}$$

Put $\chi_L : L \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{C}^\times; f \mapsto B_{\mathcal{G}}(fe_\rho, -e_{-\rho})$, which is a complex Lie group epimorphism: In fact, because of $B(e_\rho, -e_{-\rho}) = 1$, for $z \in \boldsymbol{C}$ one has that

$$\chi_L(\exp_G(zH_\rho)) = e^{2z}. \tag{6}$$

Note that $fe_\rho = \chi_L(f)e_\rho$ for $f \in L$, so that $L_0 = \chi_L^{-1}(1)$. Hence, $\chi_L^{-1} : \boldsymbol{C}^\times \longrightarrow L/L_0 = \pi_{G/L_0}(L); \lambda \mapsto \chi_L^{-1}(\lambda)$ is a complex Lie group isomorphism satisfying that $\chi_L^{-1}(\chi_L(f)) = fL_0 = \pi_{G/L_0}(f)$. Put

$$R_\lambda : G/L_0 \longrightarrow G/L_0; gL_0 \mapsto (gL_0)\chi_L^{-1}(\lambda) \tag{7}$$

for $\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times$, which is well-defined by $L_0 f = f L_0$ for $f \in L$, and that the action $R_{L/L_0} : G/L_0 \times \boldsymbol{C}^\times \longrightarrow G/L_0; (gL_0, \lambda) \mapsto R_\lambda(gL_0)$ of $\boldsymbol{C}^\times$ on G/L_0 is *holomorphic*, since the action $R_L : G \times L \longrightarrow G; (g, f) \mapsto gf$ of L on G is holomorphic and $\pi_{G/L_0} \circ R_L = R_{L/L_0} \circ (\pi_{G/L_0} \times \chi_L)$. Note that $\hat{p} \circ R_\lambda = \hat{p}$, and that the action R_{L/L_0} is *simply transitive on each fiber* $\hat{p}^{-1}(gL)$. In fact, if $gL = g'L$ for some $g' \in G$ then $g' = gf$ for some $f \in L$, that is, $R_{\chi_L(f)} gL_0 = g'L_0$, where $f \in L_0$ iff $gL_0 = g'L_0$. Hence, G/L_0 is a holomorphic principal $\boldsymbol{C}^\times$-bundle on G/L with the right action $R_\lambda (\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times)$ and the canonical projection $\hat{p} : G/L_0 \longrightarrow G/L$. Let θ_G be a smooth $\boldsymbol{C}$-valued 1-form on G defined by $\theta_G(X^R|_g) := B_{\mathcal{G}}(e_\rho, X)$ at $g \in G$ for $X \in \mathcal{G}$. Then $\theta_G(J_G X^R) = \theta_G((\sqrt{-1}X)^R) = B_{\mathcal{G}}(e_\rho, \sqrt{-1}X) = \sqrt{-1}B_{\mathcal{G}}(e_\rho, X) = \sqrt{-1}\theta_G(X^R)$, that is, $\theta_G(T''G) = 0$, so that θ_G is a smooth $(1,0)$-form on (G, J_G). For $X, Y \in \mathcal{G}$, $d\theta_G(X^R, Y^R) = X^R(\theta_G(Y^R)) - Y^R(\theta_G(X^R)) - \theta_G([X^R, Y^R]) = -\theta_G([X,Y]^R) = -B_{\mathcal{G}}(e_\rho, [X,Y])$, since $\theta_G(Z^R)|_g = B_{\mathcal{G}}(e_\rho, Z)$ is a constant function of g for $Z = X, Y$. Then $d\theta_G(J_G X^R, Y^R) = -B_{\mathcal{G}}(e_\rho, [\sqrt{-1}X, Y]) = -\sqrt{-1}B_{\mathcal{G}}(e_\rho, [X,Y]) = \sqrt{-1}d\theta_G(X^R, Y^R)$, that is, $d\theta_G(T''G, TG) = 0$. Similarly, $d\theta_G(TG, T''G) = 0$. Hence, $d\theta_G$ is a smooth $(2,0)$-form on (G, J_G), so that θ_G is a holomorphic $(1,0)$-form on (G, J_G). If $f \in L$, then

$$\begin{aligned}(R_f^*\theta_G)X^R &= \theta_G(R_{f*}X^R) = \theta_G((f^{-1}X)^R) = B_{\mathcal{G}}(e_\rho, f^{-1}X) \\ &= B_{\mathcal{G}}(fe_\rho, X) = B_{\mathcal{G}}(\chi_L(f)e_\rho, X) = \chi_L(f)\theta_G(X^R),\end{aligned}$$

so that $R_f^*\theta_G = \chi_L(f)\theta_G$. In particular, $R_f^*\theta_G = \theta_G$ for $f \in L_0$. Then there is a holomorphic $(1,0)$-form $\hat{\theta}$ on G/L_0 such that $\pi_{G/L_0}^*\hat{\theta} = \theta_G$, which satisfies the following properties:

(P. 1)$_{\mathcal{G}}$ $\hat{\theta}(\hat{p}_*^{-1}(0)) = \hat{\theta}(\pi_{G/L_0 *}(\boldsymbol{C}H_\rho^R)) = \theta_G(\boldsymbol{C}H_\rho^R) = B_{\mathcal{G}}(e_\rho, \boldsymbol{C}H_\rho) = 0$;

(P. 2)$_{\mathcal{G}}$ $R_\lambda^*\hat{\theta} = \lambda\hat{\theta}$ $(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times)$, because of $\pi_{G/L_0}^*(R_{\chi_L(f)}^*\hat{\theta}) = R_f^*(\pi_{G/L_0}^*\hat{\theta}) = R_f^*\theta_G = \chi_L(f)\theta_G = \pi_{G/L_0}^*(\chi_L(f)\hat{\theta})$ for $f \in L$; and

(P. 3)$_{\mathcal{G}}$ $\{X \in T(G/L_0) |\ d\hat{\theta}(X, Y) = 0 \text{ for } Y \in T(G/L_0)\} = \{0\}$: In fact, $\{X \in \mathcal{G} |\ d\theta_G(X^R, Y^R) = 0 \text{ if } Y \in \mathcal{G}\} = \{X \in \mathcal{G} |\ B_{\mathcal{G}}([e_\rho, X], \mathcal{G}) = 0\} = \{X \in \mathcal{G} |\ [e_\rho, X] = 0\} = \mathcal{L}_0$ (cf. [4, (5.6)]). Because of $\pi_{G/L_0 *}X^R = 0$ $(X \in \mathcal{L}_0)$, one has the result.

Hence, $(G/L_0, J_{G/L_0}; R_\lambda(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times), \hat{p}; \hat{\theta})$ is a principal contact bundle of degree 1 on $(G/L, J_{G/L})$. Then $(G/L, J_{G/L}; \gamma_{\hat{\theta}})$ given as Lemma 1.3 (iv) is a c-manifold with $E_{\gamma_{\hat{\theta}}} = \hat{p}_*(\ker\ \hat{\theta}) = \cup_{g\in G}\{\pi_{G/L*}X^R|\ X \in \mathcal{G}_{-1}\}' \subsetneqq T'(G/L)$ as the c-distribution such that $g_*E_{\gamma_{\hat{\theta}}} = E_{\gamma_{\hat{\theta}}}$ for $g \in G$. Note that $(P_{\mathcal{G}}, J_P; p_{\mathcal{G}})$ is a holomorphic principal $\boldsymbol{C}^\times$-bundle on $(Z_{\mathcal{G}}, J_Z)$ with the right action $\tilde{R}_\lambda := \iota_{G/L_0} \circ R_\lambda \circ \iota^{-1}_{G/L_0}$. Then $\tilde{R}_\lambda e_\rho = \iota_{G/L_0}(L_0\chi_L^{-1}(\lambda)) = \iota_{G/L_0}(\exp_G(\frac{\log\lambda}{2}H_\rho)L_0) = \lambda e_\rho$, the scalar multiple by $\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times$ on $\mathcal{G}\backslash 0$. And a holomorphic (1, 0)-form $\theta_{\mathcal{G}}$ is defined by $\iota^*_{G/L_0}\theta_{\mathcal{G}} = \hat{\theta}$, so that $\theta_G = \pi^*_{G/L_0}\hat{\theta} = (\iota_{G/L_0} \circ \pi_{G/L_0})^*\theta_{\mathcal{G}} = \pi^*_P\theta_{\mathcal{G}}$, which gives a principal contact bundle of degree 1 on (Z, J_Z), $(P_{\mathcal{G}}, J_P; p_{\mathcal{G}}, \tilde{R}_\lambda(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times); \theta_{\mathcal{G}})$. Put $\gamma_{\mathcal{G}} := \gamma_{\theta_{\mathcal{G}}}$, which is called *the canonical c-structure* on $(Z_{\mathcal{G}}, J_Z)$ with the c-distribution $E_{\gamma_{\mathcal{G}}} = p_{\mathcal{G}*}(\ker\ \theta_{\mathcal{G}}) = \cup_{g\in G}\{\pi_{Z*}X^R|\ X \in \mathcal{G}_{-1}\}' \subsetneqq T'Z$.

(iii-1) If $\dim_{\boldsymbol{C}} Z_{\mathcal{G}} = 1$, then $\mathcal{G}_{-1} = 0$ and $\mathcal{G} \cong sl_2\boldsymbol{C}$, so that $Z_{\mathcal{G}} \cong Z_{sl_2\boldsymbol{C}} \cong P_1\boldsymbol{C}$, the complex projective line.

(iii-2) If $\dim_{\boldsymbol{C}} Z_{\mathcal{G}} \geqq 3$, then $\mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{G}) \geqq 2$. In this case, $(Z_{\mathcal{G}}, J_Z)$ is called *a kählerian C-space of Boothby type* after [16, Example 1.1], and $\gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$ is said to be *the canonical complex contact structure* on $(Z_{\mathcal{G}}, J_Z)$.

Lemma 1.5. *Let $(P, J; R_\lambda(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times), p; \theta)$ be a principal contact bundle of degree δ on a complex manifold (Z, J_Z). Put $P_\delta := P/\boldsymbol{Z}_\delta$ as the quotient space by the action of $\boldsymbol{Z}_\delta := \{R_\omega|\ \omega \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times, \omega^\delta = 1\}$ with the canonical projection $\mu_\delta : P \longrightarrow P_\delta$. Then:*

(i) *P_δ uniquely admits a structure of complex manifold with the complex structure tensor J_δ such that $\mu_\delta : (P, J) \longrightarrow (P_\delta, J_\delta)$ is holomorphic;*

(ii) *$\underline{R}_\lambda\mu_\delta(\eta) := \mu_\delta(R_{\lambda^{1/\delta}}\eta)$ defines a holomorphic fixed-point free action of $\boldsymbol{C}^\times$ on P_δ such that $\mu_\delta \circ R_\lambda = \underline{R}_{\lambda^\delta} \circ \mu_\delta$;*

(iii) *$(P_\delta, J_\delta; \underline{R}_\lambda\ (\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times))$ is a holomorphic principal $\boldsymbol{C}^\times$-bundle on (Z, J_Z) with a holomorphic mapping $p_\delta : (P_\delta, J_\delta) \longrightarrow (Z, J_Z)$ such that $p_\delta \circ \mu_\delta = p$ as the projection.*

(iv) *There exists a $(1, 0)$-form θ_δ on (P_δ, J_δ) such that $\mu^*_\delta\theta_\delta = \theta$. In this case, $(P_\delta, J_\delta; \underline{R}_\lambda(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times), p_\delta; \theta_\delta)$ is a principal contact bundle of degree* 1 *on (Z, J_Z) such that $p^{-1}_{\delta*}E_{\gamma_\theta} = \ker'(\theta_\delta)$.*

(v) $(P_2, J_2; \underline{R}_\lambda(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times), p_2; \theta_2) = (\underline{\boldsymbol{C}}^\times_{2n+2}; \underline{R}_\lambda(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times), \underline{p}; \underline{\theta})$
when $(P, J; R_\lambda(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times), p; \theta) = (\boldsymbol{C}^\times_{2n+2}; \tilde{R}_\lambda(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times), \tilde{p}; \tilde{\theta})$.

Proof. (i) In general, the fixed-point free action of every finite subgroup of the structure group of any (holomorphic) principal fiber bundle is properly discontinuous in the sense of [13, p.43], so that the quotient space by this action uniquely admits a structure of (complex) manifold such that the canonical projection is a (holomorphic) covering mapping [13, Propoition

I.4.3]. Since $\boldsymbol{Z}_\delta$ is a finite subgroup of $\boldsymbol{C}^\times$, the principal action of $\boldsymbol{Z}_\delta$ is properly discontinuous, so that the quotient space P_δ uniquely admits a structure of complex manifold such that μ_δ is a holomorphic covering mapping.

(ii) For $\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times$, $\eta \in P_\delta$ and $i = 1, 2$. take $z_i \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times$ and $\eta_i \in P$ such as $\lambda = z_i^\delta$ and $\eta = \mu_\delta(\eta_i)$. There exists $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \boldsymbol{Z}_\delta$ such that $z_2 = z_1\omega_1$ and $\eta_2 = R_{\omega_2}\eta_1$, so that $\mu_\delta(R_{z_2}\eta_2) = \mu_\delta(R_{\omega_1}(R_{z_1}(R_{\omega_2}\eta_1))) = \mu_\delta(R_{z_1}\eta_1)$. Hence, $\underline{R}_\lambda$ is well-defined such that $\mu_\delta \circ R_z = \mu_\delta \circ R_{(z^\delta)^{1/\delta}} = \underline{R}_{z^\delta} \circ \mu_\delta$. Since μ_δ is a holomorphic submersion, the holomorphy of the action of $\boldsymbol{C}^\times$ on P_δ: $\boldsymbol{C}^\times \times P_\delta \longrightarrow P_\delta; (z, \eta) \mapsto \underline{R}_z\eta$ follows from the holomorphy of the action of $\boldsymbol{C}^\times$ on P: $\boldsymbol{C}^\times \times P \longrightarrow P; (z, \eta) \mapsto R_z\eta$.

(iii) In the step (ii), put $p_\delta(\eta) := p(\eta_1) = p(R_\omega\eta_1) = p(\eta_2)$, which well-defines a mapping $p_\delta : P_\delta \longrightarrow Z; \eta \mapsto p_\delta(\eta)$ such as $p_\delta \circ \mu_\delta = p$. Since μ_δ is a holomorphic submersion, the holomorphy of p_δ follows from the holomorphy of p.

(iv) In the step (i), put $\theta_\delta(Y) := \theta(X_1) = \omega^{-\delta}\theta(R_{\omega *}X_1) = \theta(X_2)$, which well-defines a (1, 0)-form θ_δ on (P_δ, J_δ) such that $\mu_\delta^*\theta_\delta = \theta$. Since μ_δ is a holomorphic submersion, the holomorphy of θ_δ follows from the holomorphy of θ. For $z \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times$, $\mu_\delta^*(\underline{R}_{z^\delta}^*\theta_\delta) = R_z^*(\mu_\delta^*\theta_\delta) = R_z^*\theta = z^\delta \cdot \theta = \mu_\delta^*(z^\delta \cdot \theta_\delta)$, i.e. $\underline{R}_\lambda^*\theta_\delta = \lambda \cdot \theta_\delta$ for $\lambda = z^\delta$. And $\ker' \theta_\delta = \mu_{\delta *}(\ker' \theta) = \mu_{\delta *}(p_*^{-1}E_{\gamma_\theta}) = \mu_{\delta *}((p_\delta \circ \mu_\delta)_*^{-1}E_{\gamma_\theta}) = p_{\delta *}^{-1}E_{\gamma_\theta}$.

(v) It follows from Example 1.4 (ii). $\square$

For $i = 1, 2$, let $P_i = (P_i; R_{i,\lambda}(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times), p_i; \theta_i)$ be a principal contact bundle on a complex manifold (Z, J_Z). By definition, a mapping $f : P_1 \longrightarrow P_2$ is said to be *a* $\boldsymbol{C}^\times$*-bundle isomorphism* iff f is a biholomorphism such that $p_2 \circ f = p_1$ and $R_{2,\lambda} \circ f = f \circ R_{1,\lambda}$ ($\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times$). And f is said to be an *isomorphism* iff f is a $\boldsymbol{C}^\times$-bundle isomorphism such that $f^*\theta_2 = \theta_1$. In this case, they have the same non-zero degree. And $p_{2*}(\ker'\theta_2) = p_{2*}(f_*(\ker'\theta_1)) = p_{1*}(\ker'\theta_1)$, so that $E_{\gamma_{\theta_2}} = E_{\gamma_{\theta_1}}$ (i.e. $[\gamma_{\theta_2}] = [\gamma_{\theta_1}]$) by Lemma 1.3 (iii, iv). By definition, two principal contact bundles are said to be *isomorphic* iff there exists an isomorphism between them. For a non-zero integer δ, let $\mathcal{P}^\delta(Z, J_Z)$ be the set of the isomorphism classes $[P, J; \theta]$ of all principal contact bundles $(P, J; \theta)$ of degree δ on (Z, J_Z). Then the following mapping is well-defined:

$$\mathcal{C}_\delta : \mathcal{P}^\delta(Z, J_Z) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(Z, J_Z); [P, J; \theta] \mapsto [\gamma_\theta].$$

By virtue of Lemma 1.2, the following mapping is well-defined:

$$\mathcal{P}^1 : \mathcal{C}(Z, J_Z) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^1(Z, J_Z); [\gamma] \mapsto [P_\gamma, J_\gamma; \theta_\gamma],$$

since $(P_\gamma, J_\gamma; p_\gamma, R_\lambda(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times); \theta_\gamma)$ is determined by E_γ.

THEOREM 1.6. (i) $\mathcal{C}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}^1 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}(Z,J_Z)}$; (ii) $\mathcal{P}^1 \circ \mathcal{C}_1 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{P}^1(Z,J_Z)}$.

Proof. (i) Let $(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$ be a c-manifold with $\gamma = \{\gamma_i : V_i \to \boldsymbol{C}\}$. Then $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{P}^1([\gamma])) = \mathcal{C}^1([P_\gamma, J_\gamma; \theta_\gamma]) = [\gamma_{(\theta_\gamma)}]$, which is equal to $[\gamma]$: In fact, for any $X \in T_\zeta V_i$, $\gamma_i(X) =< \breve{\gamma}_i(\zeta), \varpi_\gamma(X) >_{L_\gamma} = \theta_\gamma(\breve{\gamma}_{i*\zeta} X) = (\breve{\gamma}_i^* \theta_\gamma) X$, so that $E_\gamma|_{V_i} = \ker' \gamma_i = \ker'(\breve{\gamma}_i^* \theta_\gamma) = E_{\gamma_{(\theta_\gamma)}}|_{V_i}$ by Lemma 1.3 (iv).

(ii) Let $(P, J; p, R_\lambda(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times); \theta)$ be a principal contact bundle of degree 1 on a complex manifold (Z, J_Z). Take a set $\{\sigma_i : V_i \to P\}$ of holomorphic local sections of p such as $Z = \cup_i V_i$. According to Lemma 1.3, put $\gamma_\theta = \{\gamma_i\}$ with $\gamma_i := \sigma_i^* \theta$. Then $\mathcal{P}^1(\mathcal{C}_1([P, J; \theta])) = \mathcal{P}^1([\gamma_\theta]) = [P_{\gamma_\theta}, J_{\gamma_\theta}; \theta_{(\gamma_\theta)}]$, which is equal to $[P, J; \theta]$: In fact, for any $X \in T_\zeta V_i$, $\gamma_i(X) =< \breve{\gamma}_i(\zeta), \varpi_{\gamma_\theta}(X) >_{L_{\gamma_\theta}} = \theta_{(\gamma_\theta)}(\breve{\gamma}_{i*\zeta} X) = (\breve{\gamma}_i^* \theta_{(\gamma_\theta)}) X$, i.e. $\gamma_i = \breve{\gamma}_i^* \theta_{(\gamma_\theta)}$. By Lemma 1.3 (ii), $\theta_{(\gamma_\theta)}|_{R_\lambda \breve{\gamma}_i(\zeta)} = \lambda \cdot p_{\gamma_\theta}^* \gamma_i|_\zeta$. Take $g_{ji} : V_i \cap V_j \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{C}^\times$ such as $\sigma_j(\zeta) = R_{g_{ji}} \sigma_i(\zeta)$. Then $\gamma_j|_\zeta = \theta \circ \sigma_{j*\zeta} = \theta \circ R_{g_{ji}*} \circ \sigma_{i*\zeta} = g_{ji} \cdot \gamma_i|_\zeta$ and $< \breve{\gamma}_j(\zeta), \varpi_{\gamma_\theta}(X) >= \gamma_j(X) = g_{ji}(\zeta) \cdot \gamma_i(X) =< R_{g_{ji}(\zeta)} \cdot \breve{\gamma}_i(\zeta), \varpi_{\gamma_\theta}(X) >$, so that $\breve{\gamma}_j(\zeta) = R_{g_{ji}(\zeta)} \breve{\gamma}_i(\zeta)$. Then $\mu_1 : P \longrightarrow P_{\gamma_\theta}; R_\lambda \sigma_i(\zeta) \mapsto R_\lambda \breve{\gamma}_i(\zeta)$ is well-defined as a mapping such that $p_{\gamma_\theta} \circ \mu_1 = p$, which is holomorphic since the local trivializations are holomorphic. Because of $\mu_1(R_a R_\lambda \sigma_i(\zeta)) = R_a R_\lambda \breve{\gamma}_i(\zeta) = R_a(\mu_1(R_\lambda \sigma_i(\zeta)))$, $\mu_1 \circ R_a = R_a \circ \mu_1$ $(a \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times)$. By Lemma 1.3 (ii), $\mu_1^*(\theta_{(\gamma_\theta)}|_{R_\lambda \breve{\gamma}_i(\zeta)}) = \lambda \cdot (\gamma_i|_\zeta \circ p_{\gamma_\theta *}) \circ \mu_{1*R_\lambda \sigma_i(\zeta)} = \lambda \cdot \gamma_i|_\zeta \circ p_{*R_\lambda \sigma_i(\zeta)} = \theta|_{R_\lambda \sigma_i(\zeta)}$. Hence, $\mu_1 : (P, J; \theta) \longrightarrow (P_{\gamma_\theta}, J_{\gamma_\theta}; \theta_{(\gamma_\theta)})$ is an isomorphism. □

COROLLARY 1.7. (i) *Let $(P, J; R_\lambda(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times), p; \theta)$ be a principal contact bundle of degree δ on a complex manifold (Z, J_Z). Then there exists a holomorphic $|\delta|$-covering map $\mu : P \longrightarrow P_{\gamma_\theta}$ such that $p = p_{\gamma_\theta} \circ \mu$, $\theta = \mu^* \theta_{(\gamma_\theta)}$, $\underline{R}_{\lambda^\delta} \circ \mu = \mu \circ R_\lambda$ $(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times)$.*

(ii) *Let $(P, J; R_\lambda(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times), p; \theta)$ be a symplectification of degree δ on a c-manifold $(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$. Then there exits a holomorphic $|\delta|$-covering map $\mu : P \longrightarrow P_\gamma$ such that $p = p_\gamma \circ \mu$, $\theta = \mu^* \theta_\gamma$, $\breve{R}_{\lambda^\delta} \circ \mu = \mu \circ R_\lambda$ $(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times)$.*

Proof. (0) By Lemma 1.5, there exists a holomorphic $|\delta|$-covering $\mu_\delta : P \longrightarrow P_\delta$ such that $\underline{R}_{\lambda^\delta} \circ \mu_\delta = \mu_\delta \circ R_\lambda$ $(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times)$, $p = p_\delta \circ \mu_\delta$ and $\theta = \mu_\delta^* \theta_\delta$, so that $[\gamma_\theta] = [\gamma_{\theta_\delta}] = \mathcal{C}_1([P_\delta, J_\delta; \theta_\delta])$.

(i) By Theorem 1.6 (ii), $[P_\delta, J_\delta; \theta_\delta] = \mathcal{P}^1(\mathcal{C}_1([P_\delta, J_\delta; \theta_\delta])) = \mathcal{P}^1([\gamma_\theta]) = [P_{\gamma_\theta}, J_{\gamma_\theta}; \theta_{\gamma_\theta}]$. Hence, there exists an isomorphism $\mu_1 : (P_\delta, J_\delta; \theta_\delta) \longrightarrow (P_{\gamma_\theta}, J_{\gamma_\theta}; \theta_{\gamma_\theta})$. Then $p_{\gamma_\theta} \circ \mu_1 \circ \mu_\delta = p_\delta \circ \mu_\delta = p$, $(\mu_1 \circ \mu_\delta)^* \theta_{(\gamma_\theta)} = \mu_\delta^*(\mu_1^* \theta_{(\gamma_\theta)}) = \mu_\delta^* \theta_\delta = \theta$ and $\mu_1 \circ \mu_\delta \circ R_\lambda = \mu_1 \circ \underline{R}_{\lambda^\delta} \circ \mu_\delta = \breve{R}_{\lambda^\delta} \circ \mu_1 \circ \mu_\delta$ $(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times)$, so that the claim (i) follows by putting $\mu := \mu_1 \circ \mu_\delta$.

(ii) By (P. 0) and Lemma 1.3 (iv), $[\gamma] = [\gamma_\theta] = \mathcal{C}_1([P_\delta, J_\delta; \theta_\delta])$. By Theorem 1.6 (ii), $[P_\delta, J_\delta; \theta_\delta] = \mathcal{P}^1(\mathcal{C}_1([P_\delta, J_\delta; \theta_\delta])) = \mathcal{P}^1([\gamma]) = [P_\gamma, J_\gamma; \theta_\gamma]$, which gives an isomorphism $\mu_1 : (P_\delta, J_\delta; \theta_\delta) \longrightarrow (P_\gamma, J_\gamma; \theta_\gamma)$. Then $p_\gamma \circ \mu_1 \circ \mu_\delta = p_\delta \circ \mu_\delta = p$, $(\mu_1 \circ \mu_\delta)^* \theta_\gamma = \mu_\delta^*(\mu_1^* \theta_\gamma) = \mu_\delta^* \theta_\delta = \theta$ and $\mu_1 \circ \mu_\delta \circ R_\lambda = \mu_1 \circ \underline{R}_{\lambda^\delta} \circ \mu_\delta = \breve{R}_{\lambda^\delta} \circ \mu_1 \circ \mu_\delta$ $(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times)$, so that the claim (ii) follows by putting $\mu := \mu_1 \circ \mu_\delta$. $\square$

2. Hamiltonian vector fields on a principal contact bundle.

Let $(P, J; R_\lambda(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times), p; \theta)$ be a principal contact bundle of degree $\delta \neq 0$ on a complex manifold (Z, J_Z). For $\eta \in P$ and $X \in T'_\eta P$, put $X^\natural := -\iota_X d\theta \in T^{*'}_\eta P$ such that $< X^\natural, Y >_{T'_\eta P} := -d\theta(X, Y)$ for $Y \in T'_\eta P$. By (P. 3), $(d\theta)^\natural_\eta : T'_\eta P \longrightarrow T^{*'}_\eta P; X \mapsto X^\natural$, is a $\boldsymbol{C}$-linear isomorphism, which gives a holomorphic vector bundle isomorphism, $(d\theta)^\natural : T'P \longrightarrow T^{*'}P$. Then the inverse, $\Xi := ((d\theta)^\natural)^{-1} : T^{*'}P \longrightarrow T'P; \omega \mapsto \Xi(\omega)$, is a holomorphic vector bundle isomorphism such that $\iota_{\Xi(\omega)} d\theta = -\omega$. For $f \in \mathcal{O}(W, J)$, put $X_f := 2\ \mathrm{Re}(\Xi(df)) = \Xi(df) + \overline{\Xi(df)}$, so that $X'_f = \Xi(df)$. Then X_f is a holomorphic real vector field on (W, J) such that $\iota_{X_f} d\theta = -df$, which is said to be *the Hamiltonian vector field of f on W*.

Let ℓ be an integer. And W an open subset of P. Put $\mathcal{O}^\ell(W, J) := \{f \in \mathcal{O}(W, J) |\ \Xi(\theta) f = -\frac{\ell}{\delta} f\}$ and $a^\ell(W, J) := \{X_f |\ f \in \mathcal{O}^\ell(W, J)\}$. Because of $X_{\sqrt{-1}f} = JX_f$ for $f \in \mathcal{O}(W, J)$, one has that $a^\ell(W, J)$ is a $\boldsymbol{C}$-linear subspace of $a(W, J)$. For $w \in \boldsymbol{C}$ and $\eta \in W$, put

$$B_w|_\eta := \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} R_{e^{wt}}\ \eta = 2\ \mathrm{Re}(w \left.\frac{d}{dz}\right|_{z=1} R_z \eta) \in T_\eta W. \tag{8}$$

Then $p_*^{-1}(0) = \{(a + bJ)B_1 |\ a, b \in \boldsymbol{R}\} \subseteq TP$. By the locally trivializing holomorphic coordinates $\psi_i(\zeta, \lambda) := R_\lambda \sigma_i(\zeta)$ of W given in Lemma 1.3 (i), $\frac{d}{dz}\big|_{z=1} R_z \psi_i(\zeta, \lambda) = \frac{d}{dz}\big|_{z=1} \psi_i(\zeta, z\lambda) = \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}\big|_{\psi_i(\zeta,\lambda)} \in T'_{\psi_i(\zeta,\lambda)} P$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} B'_w|_{\psi_i(\zeta,\lambda)} &= \left(\left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} R_{e^{tw}} \psi_i(\zeta, \lambda) \right)' \\ &= w \left.\frac{d}{dz}\right|_{z=1} R_z \psi_i(\zeta, \lambda) = w\lambda \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}\right|_{\psi_i(\zeta,\lambda)}. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2.1. (i) $\Xi(\theta) = -\frac{\lambda}{\delta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}$, *so that* $B_w = -2w\delta \mathrm{Re}(\Xi(\theta))$ *and* $B'_w = -w\delta \Xi(\theta)$ *for* $w \in \boldsymbol{C}$, *and that* $p_*^{-1}(0) = \mathrm{Re}(\boldsymbol{C}\Xi(\theta))$ *and*

$$\mathcal{O}^\ell(W, J) = \{f \in \mathcal{O}(W, J) |\ B_w f = \ell w f\ (w \in \boldsymbol{C})\}.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{O}^\ell(P,J) = \{f \in \mathcal{O}(P,J) |\ R_\lambda^* f = \lambda^\ell f\ (\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times)\}$.

(ii) $R_{\lambda *}\Xi(\theta) = \Xi(\theta)$ *for any* $\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times$.

(iii) $\theta(\Xi(df)) = \theta(X_f) = \frac{m}{\delta} f$ *for all* $f \in \mathcal{O}^\ell(W,J)$. *When* $\ell \neq 0$, $\chi^\ell : \mathcal{O}^\ell(W,J) \longrightarrow a^\ell(W,J); f \mapsto \chi^\ell(f) := X_f$ *is a* $\boldsymbol{C}$*-linear isomorphism such that* $(\chi^\ell)^{-1} = \frac{\delta}{\ell}\theta$.

(iv) *Assume that* $\omega \in \mathcal{A}^{1,0}(W,J)$; $f, g \in \mathcal{O}(W,J)$; *and* $Y \in \mathcal{X}(W)$. *Then* $L_{\Xi(\omega)} d\theta = -d\omega$, $L_{\Xi(df)} d\theta = 0$ *and* $[Y, \Xi(\omega)] = \Xi(L_Y\omega + \iota_{\Xi(\omega)}(L_Y d\theta))$, *so that* $[X_f,\ X_g] = X_{d\theta(X'_f,\ X'_g)}$.

(v) $\mathcal{O}^\ell(W,J) \subseteqq \{f \in \mathcal{O}(W,J) |\ [B_w, X_f]' = w(\ell - \delta) \cdot X'_f\ (w \in \boldsymbol{C})\}$ *and* $[a^\ell(W,J),\ a^{\ell'}(W,J)] \subseteqq\ a^{\ell+\ell'-\delta}(W,J)$. *In particular,*
$\mathcal{O}^\ell(P,J) \subseteqq \{f \in \mathcal{O}(P,J) |\ R_{\lambda *}X'_f = \lambda^{\delta-\ell}X'_f\ (\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times)\}$.

Proof. (i) By Lemmas 1.3 (ii) and 1.2 (i), $d\theta(\lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}, Y) = \delta\lambda^\delta(p^*(\gamma_i|_\zeta)Y) = \delta\theta(Y) = -\delta d\theta(\Xi(\theta), Y)$ for $Y \in T_{\psi_i(\zeta,\lambda)}(p^{-1}V_i)$, so that the 1st equation follows. The 2nd and the 3rd equations follow from the 1st one. The 4th and the 5th equations follow from the 2nd one. For $f \in \mathcal{O}^\ell(P,J)$ and $w \in \boldsymbol{C}$, $\frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} f(R_{e^{tw}}\eta) = B_w f|_\eta = \ell w f(\eta)$, so that $\frac{d}{dt}(e^{-\ell wt} \cdot f(R_{e^{wt}}\eta)) \equiv e^{-wwt} \cdot \frac{d}{ds}\big|_{s=0} \{e^{-\ell ws} \cdot f(R_{e^{wt}}\eta) + f(R_{e^{ws}}(R_{e^{wt}}\eta))\} \equiv e^{-wwt} \cdot \{-\ell w \cdot f(R_{e^{wt}}\eta) + \ell w \cdot f(R_{e^{wt}}\eta)\} \equiv 0$, i.e. $e^{-\ell wt} \cdot f(R_{e^{wt}}\eta) \equiv f(\eta)$, that gives the last equation.

(ii) For any $X \in T_\eta P$ at each $\eta \in P$ and $\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times$, $d\theta(X, R_{\lambda *}\Xi(\theta)) = \lambda^\delta d\theta(R_{\lambda *}^{-1}X, \Xi(\theta)) = \lambda^\delta\theta(R_{\lambda *}^{-1}X) = \theta(X) = d\theta(X, \Xi(\theta))$, as required. It also follows from $B_1 = -2\delta\mathrm{Re}(\Xi(\theta))$.

(iii) If $f \in \mathcal{O}^\ell(W,J)$, then $\theta(X_f) = -d\theta(\Xi(\theta), X_f) = -df(\Xi(\theta)) = -\Xi(\theta)f = \frac{\ell}{\delta}f$. By the definition, χ^ℓ is a $\boldsymbol{C}$-linear surjection. If $\ell \neq 0$, then $\frac{\delta}{\ell}\theta \circ \chi^\ell = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{O}^\ell(W,J)}$, so that χ^ℓ is an injection.

(iv) $L_{\Xi(\omega)} d\theta = d(\iota_{\Xi(\omega)} d\theta) + \iota_{\Xi(\omega)} dd\theta = -d\omega$, so that $L_{\Xi(df)} d\theta = -ddf = 0$. And $\iota_{[Y,\Xi(\omega)]} d\theta = [L_Y, \iota_{\Xi(\omega)}] d\theta = L_Y(\iota_{\Xi(\omega)} d\theta) - \iota_{\Xi(\omega)}(L_Y d\theta) = -(L_Y\omega + \iota_{\Xi(\omega)}(L_Y d\theta))$, so that $[Y, \Xi(\omega)] = \Xi(L_Y\omega + \iota_{\Xi(\omega)}(L_Y d\theta))$. In particular, $[X_f, X_g]' = [\Xi(df), \Xi(dg)] = \Xi(L_{\Xi(df)} dg + \iota_{\Xi(dg)}(L_{\Xi(df)} d\theta)) = \Xi(L_{\Xi(df)} dg) = \Xi(d(\iota_{\Xi(df)} dg)) = \Xi(d(-d\theta(\Xi(dg), \Xi(df)))) = X'_{-d\theta(X'_g, X'_f)} = X'_{d\theta(X'_f, X'_g)}$.

(v) By (iv), $L_{B'_w} d\theta = -w\delta L_{\Xi(\theta)} d\theta = w\delta d\theta$, so that $\iota_{\Xi(df)}(L_{B'_w} d\theta) = \iota_{\Xi(df)}(w\delta d\theta) = -w\delta df$. If $f \in \mathcal{O}^\ell(W,J)$, then $[B_w, X_f]' = [B'_w, \Xi(df)] = \Xi(L_{B'_w} df + \iota_{\Xi(df)}(L_{B'_w} d\theta)) = \Xi(d(B'_w f) - w\delta df) = \Xi(w(\ell - \delta)df) = w(\ell - \delta)X'_f$, that gives the 1st claim. If $g \in \mathcal{O}^{\ell'}(W,J)$, then $B'_w d\theta(X'_f, X'_g) = (L_{B'_w} d\theta)(X'_f, X'_g) + d\theta([B'_w, X'_f], X'_g) + d\theta(X'_f, [B'_w, X'_g]) = w\delta d\theta(X'_f, X'_g) + d\theta(X'_{w(\ell-\delta)f}, X'_g) + d\theta(X'_f, X'_{w(\ell'-\delta)g}) = w(\ell + \ell' - \delta)d\theta(X'_f, X'_g)$, so that $[X_f, X_g] = X_{d\theta(X'_f, X'_g)} \in a^{\ell+\ell'-\delta}(W,J)$ by (iv) and (i), that is the 2nd claim. If $f \in \mathcal{O}^\ell(P,J)$, then $\frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} R_{e^{wt}*}(X'_f|_{R_{e^{-wt}}\eta}) = -[B_w, X_f]'|_\eta =$

$-w(\ell-\delta)X'_f|_\eta$, so that $e^{(\ell-\delta)wt}\cdot R_{e^{wt}*}(X'_f|_{R_{e^{-wt}}\eta}) \equiv X'_f|_\eta$, that gives the last claim. *Another proof of the last claim when* $\ell \neq 0$*:* If $\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times$, then $\theta(R_{\lambda *}X_f)|_\eta = \lambda^\delta\theta(X_f)|_{R_\lambda^{-1}\eta} = \lambda^\delta\frac{\ell}{\delta}f|_{R_\lambda^{-1}\eta} = \lambda^{\delta-\ell}\frac{\ell}{\delta}f|_\eta = \lambda^{\delta-\ell}\theta(X_f)|_\eta$ by (iii), so that $\frac{\delta}{\ell}\chi^\ell(\theta(R_{\lambda *}X_f)) = \frac{\delta}{\ell}\chi^\ell(\lambda^{\delta-\ell}\theta(X_f))$, i.e. $R_{\lambda *}X'_f = \lambda^{\delta-\ell}X'_f$. $\square$

By Lemma 2.1 (i), each $f \in \mathcal{O}^\ell(W,J)$ is said to be *a homogeneous holomorphic function of degree* ℓ *on* W, and that $\Xi(\theta)$ is said to be *the Euler operator of* $(P,J;R_\lambda(\lambda\in\boldsymbol{C}^\times),p;\theta)$. Put

$$\begin{aligned}
&a(P,J;d\theta,R_{\boldsymbol{C}^\times}) := \{X \in a(P,J;d\theta)|\ dR_\lambda X = X\ (\lambda\in\boldsymbol{C}^\times)\},\\
&a(W,J;\theta) := \{X \in a(W,J)|\ L_X\theta = 0\},\\
&a(W,J;d\theta) := \{X \in a(W,J)|\ L_X(d\theta) = 0\},\\
&a(W,J;d\theta,\Xi(\theta)) := \{X \in a(W,J;d\theta)|\ [\Xi(\theta),X] = 0\}.
\end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2.2. (i) *For* $X \in a(W,J;\theta)$*,* $\theta(X) = 0 \in \mathcal{O}(W,J)$ *iff* $X = 0$.

(ii) $a^\delta(W,J) = a(W,J;\theta) = a(W,J;d\theta,\Xi(\theta))$.

(iii) $a^\delta(P,J) = a(P,J;\theta) = a(P,J;d\theta,R_{\boldsymbol{C}^\times})$.

Proof. (i) If $X = 0$, then $\theta(X) = 0$ since θ is a linear form. For $X \in a(W,J;\theta)$, $0 = L_X\theta = d(\theta(X)) + \iota_X d\theta$. If $\theta(X) = 0 \in \mathcal{O}(W,J)$, then $\iota_X d\theta = 0$, so that $X = 0$ because of the condition (P. 3).

(ii-1) If $f \in \mathcal{O}^\delta(W,J)$, $L_{X_f}\theta = d(\theta(X_f)) + \iota_{X_f}d\theta = d(\frac{\delta}{\delta}f) + \iota_{X_f}d\theta = 0$, by Lemma 2.1 (iii) with $\ell = \delta$, so that $a^\delta(W,J) \subsetneqq a(W,J;\theta)$.

(ii-2) For $Y \in a(W,J;\theta)$, put $f := \theta(Y) \in \mathcal{O}(W,J)$. For $z \in \boldsymbol{C}$, $\theta(B_z) = 0$ by the condition (P. 1). Then $L_{B_z}\theta = d(\theta(B_z)) + \iota_{B_z}d\theta = z\delta\cdot\theta$, $[B_z,Y] = z\delta\Xi(L_Y\theta + \iota_{\Xi(\theta)}dL_Y\theta) = 0$, so that $B_zf = (L_{B_z}\theta)(Y) + \theta([B_z,Y]) = (z\delta\theta)(Y) = z\delta f$. Hence, $f \in \mathcal{O}^\delta(W,J)$ and $X_f \in a^\delta(W,J) \subsetneqq a(W,J;\theta)$. By Lemma 2.1 (iii), $\theta(Y - X_f) = f - \frac{\delta}{\delta}f = 0$ so that $Y - X_f = 0$ by the claim (i). Hence, $a(W,J;\theta) \subsetneqq a^\delta(W,J)$.

(ii-3) For $X \in a(W,J;d\theta)$, $\Xi(L_X\theta) = [X,\Xi(\theta)]$. Hence, $[X,\Xi(\theta)] = 0$ iff $L_X\theta = 0$, that is, $a(W,J;d\theta,\Xi(\theta)) = a(W,J;\theta)$.

(iii) For $z \in \boldsymbol{C}$ and $X \in a(W,J)$, $[B_z,X] = 2\mathrm{Re}(-z\delta[\Xi(\theta),X])$. Hence,

$$a(W,J;d\theta,\Xi(\theta)) = \{X \in a(W,J;d\theta)|\ [B_z,X] = 0\ (z\in\boldsymbol{C})\},$$

so that $a(P,J;d\theta,\Xi(\theta)) = a(P,J;d\theta,R_{\boldsymbol{C}^\times})$. $\square$

Let $(Z,J_Z;\gamma)$ be a c-manifold with the contact line bundle L_γ and the standard symplectification $(P_\gamma,J_\gamma;\breve{R}_\lambda(\lambda\in\boldsymbol{C}^\times),p_\gamma;\theta_\gamma)$ defined in Example

1.4 (i). For $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$ and $\eta \in P_\gamma$, $< \eta, \varpi_\gamma(g_*^{-1}X) >_{L_\gamma} \in \boldsymbol{C}$ does not depend on the choice of X, because of $g_* E_\gamma = E_\gamma$. Hence, $g_P(\eta) \in P_\gamma^*|_{p_\gamma(\eta)}$ is well-defined by $< g_P(\eta), \varpi_\gamma(X) >_{L_\gamma} := < \eta, \varpi_\gamma(g_*^{-1}X) >_{L_\gamma}$ for all $X \in T'_{p_\gamma(\eta)}Z$. For $\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times$ and $g_1, g_2 \in \mathrm{Aut}(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$, $p_\gamma \circ g_P = g \circ p_\gamma$, $\breve{R}_\lambda \circ g_P = g_P \circ \breve{R}_\lambda$ and $(g_1 \circ g_2)_P = g_{1P} \circ g_{2P}$. By the definition of θ_γ,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_\gamma(g_{P*}Y) &= < \pi(g_{P*}Y), \varpi_\gamma(p_{\gamma*}g_{P*}Y) >_{L_\gamma} = < g(\pi Y), \varpi_\gamma(g_* p_{\gamma*}Y) >_{L_\gamma} \\ &= < \pi(Y), \varpi_\gamma(g_*^{-1} g_*(p_{\gamma*}Y)) >_{L_\gamma} = \theta_\gamma(Y) \end{aligned}$$

for $Y \in T'P_\gamma$. so that $g_P \in \{f \in \mathrm{Aut}(P_\gamma, J_\gamma) |\ f^*\theta_\gamma = \theta_\gamma, R_\lambda \circ f = f \circ R_\lambda (\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times)\} =: \mathrm{Aut}(P_\gamma, J_\gamma; \theta_\gamma, R_{\boldsymbol{C}^\times})$, which is said to be *the prolongation of* g (cf. [4, (3.1)], [16]). Then *Pro* : $\mathrm{Aut}(Z, J_Z; \gamma) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(P_\gamma, J_\gamma; \theta_\gamma, R_{\boldsymbol{C}^\times}) : g \mapsto g_P$, is well-defined as a group homomorphism such that p_γ is equivariant. For any $X \in a(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$, let $\exp_Z tX$ be a locally defined one parameter group determined by X as: $\frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} (\exp_Z tX)\zeta = X|_\zeta$. Let $(\exp_Z tX)_P$ be the prolongation defined on $p_\gamma^{-1}(U)$ for an open subset U around each point of Z, which gives $X_P|_\eta := \frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} (\exp_Z tX)_P \eta \in T_\eta P_\gamma$ at each $\eta \in P_\gamma$. Then $X_P \in a(P_\gamma, J_\gamma; \theta_\gamma) = a^1(P_\gamma, J_\gamma)$ (Lemma 2.2 (ii)) such that $(dp_\gamma)X_P = X$, so that *pro* : $a(Z, J_Z; \gamma) \longrightarrow a^1(P_\gamma, J_\gamma); X \mapsto X_P$ is a complex Lie algebra homomorphism such that $dp_\gamma \circ$ *pro* $= \mathrm{id}_{a(Z, J_Z; \gamma)}$, which is said to be *the infinitesimal prolongation isomorphism* because of the following result (cf. [12, Theorem I.7.1], [18, p.74, Theorem 2.4'], [16, Theorem 1.6, Corollary]):

THEOREM 2.3. *Let* $(P, J; R_\lambda(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times), p; \theta)$ *be a symplectification of degree* δ *on a c-manifold* $(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$ *with the contact line bundle* L_γ*. Then the following is a commutative diagram of* $\boldsymbol{C}$*-linear isomorphisms:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
a(Z, J_Z; \gamma) \arrow[d, "\varpi_\gamma"'] \arrow[r, shift right, "pro"'] & a^1(P_\gamma, J_\gamma) \arrow[l, shift right, "dp_\gamma"'] \arrow[d, shift right, "\theta_\gamma"'] & a^\delta(P, J) \arrow[l, "d\mu"'] \arrow[d, shift right, "\theta"'] \\
\Gamma(L_\gamma) \arrow[r, shift right, "\iota_{L_\gamma}"'] & \mathcal{O}^1(P_\gamma, J_\gamma) \arrow[l, shift right, "\Sigma_{L_\gamma}"'] \arrow[u, shift right, "\chi^1"'] \arrow[r, "\mu^*"'] & \mathcal{O}^\delta(P, J) \arrow[u, shift right, "\chi^\delta"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\mu : P \longrightarrow P_\gamma$ *is the one given in Corollary 1.7 (ii).*

Proof. By Lemma 2.1 (iii), $\chi^\delta = \theta^{-1}$ and $\chi^1 = \theta_\gamma^{-1}$. By Corollary 1.7 (ii), $\mu \circ \breve{R}_\lambda = R_{\lambda^\delta} \circ \mu$ $(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times)$, so that $\mu^* : \mathcal{O}^1(P_\gamma, J_\gamma) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^\delta(P, J); f \mapsto f \circ \mu$, is well-defined as a $\boldsymbol{C}$-linear isomorphism. And $d\theta_\gamma(\mu_{*\eta}X_f, \mu_{*\eta}Y) =$

$d\theta(X_f, Y)|_\eta = df(Y) = d(\mu^* f)(\mu_{*\eta} Y)$, so that $\mu_{*\eta} X_f = X_{\mu^* f}|_{\mu(\eta)}$. Hence, $d\mu : a^\delta(P, J) \longrightarrow a^1(P_\gamma, J_\gamma); X_f \mapsto d\mu(X_f) = X_{\mu^* f}$, is well-defined as a $\boldsymbol{C}$-linear mapping. For $X \in a^\delta(P, J)$, $\theta(X|_\eta) = \theta_\delta(\mu_*(X|_\eta)) = \theta_\delta(d\mu(X)|_{\mu(\eta)})$, so that $\theta = \mu^* \circ \theta_\delta \circ d\mu$. Hence, $d\mu = \theta_\delta^{-1} \circ (\mu^*)^{-1} \circ \theta$, is a $\boldsymbol{C}$-linear isomorphism, so that the right hand of the diagram gives a commutative diagram of $\boldsymbol{C}$-linear isomorphisms. By Lemma 2.2 (iii), $Y := dp_\gamma(X) \in a(Z, J_Z)$ is well-defined for $X \in a^\delta(P, J)$. And $\exp(tY)_* E_\gamma = p_*(\exp(tX)_* \ker' \theta) = p_*(\ker' \exp(tX)^* \theta) = p_*(\ker' \theta) = E_\gamma$, so that $Y \in a(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$. Hence, $dp_\gamma : a^\delta(P, J) \longrightarrow a(Z, J_Z; \gamma); X \mapsto dp_\gamma(X)$, is well-defined as a $\boldsymbol{C}$-linear surjection since $dp_\gamma \circ pro = \mathrm{id}_{a(Z, J_Z; \gamma)}$ is surjective. By the definition of θ_γ, $\theta_\gamma = \iota_{L_\gamma} \circ \varpi_\gamma \circ dp_\gamma$, which completes the commutativity of the diagram. Since θ_γ is bijective, dp_γ is injective, so that dp_γ is bijective and that $pro = (dp_\gamma)^{-1}$. By Lemma 0.1, $\Sigma_{L_\gamma} = (\iota_{L_\gamma})^{-1}$. Then $\varpi_\gamma = \Sigma_{L_\gamma} \circ \theta \circ pro$ is a $\boldsymbol{C}$-linear isomorphism. □

In general, put $V|_\xi := \{X|_\xi |\ X \in V\}$ for any subset V of real vector fields on a manifold M and a point $\xi \in M$ as a subset of the real tangent space $T_\xi M$ at $\xi \in M$. By definition, a c-manifold $(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$ is said to be *homogeneous* iff there exists $\zeta \in Z$ such that $\mathrm{Aut}(Z, J_Z; \gamma) \cdot \zeta = Z$. And $(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$ is said to be *infinitesimally homogeneous* iff $T_\zeta Z = a(Z, J_Z; \gamma)|_\zeta$ at all $\zeta \in Z$. By definition, $(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$ is said to be *infinitesimally Hamiltonian homogeneous* iff $T_\eta P = a^\delta(P, J)|_\eta$ at all $\eta \in P$ for some symplectification $(P, J; \theta)$ of degree δ on $(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$. In this case, $(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$ is infinitesimally homogeneous by Theorem 2.3.

PROPOSITION 2.4. (i) $\mathrm{Aut}(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$ *of a connected compact c-manifold* $(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$ *is a finitely dimensional complex Lie group acting holomorphically on* (Z, J_Z) *with the Lie algebra* $a(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$.

(ii) *Let* $(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$ *be an infinitesimally homogeneous connected compact c-manifold. Then it is homogeneous. If Z is simply connected, then there exists a conected complex semisimple Lie subgroup G_Z of* $\mathrm{Aut}(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$ *such that Z is one orbit of G_Z.*

Proof. (i) By S. Bochner & D. Montgomery [12, Theorem III.1.1], $\mathrm{Aut}(Z, J_Z)$ is a complex Lie transformation group with the Lie algebra $a(Z, J_Z)$. And $\mathrm{Aut}(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$ is a closed subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(Z, J_Z)$ with the Lie algebra $a(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$. Let $\Gamma_{\mathrm{local}}(E_\gamma)$ be the set of all holomorphic local sections of E_γ on Z. Then $X \in a(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$ iff $X \in a(Z, J_Z)$ and $[X, Y] \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{local}}(E_\gamma)$ for any $Y \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{local}}(E_\gamma)$ stabilizing E_γ. In this case, $[J_Z X, Y] = J_Z[X, Y] \in E_\gamma$. Hence, $a(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$ is a complex Lie subalgebra of $(a(Z, J_Z), J_Z)$, so that $\mathrm{Aut}(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$ is a complex Lie subgroup of

$\mathrm{Aut}(Z, J_Z)$ with the Lie algebra $a(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$.

(ii) For $\zeta \in Z$, put $\tilde{\zeta} : \mathrm{Aut}(Z, J_Z; \gamma) \longrightarrow Z; f \mapsto f(\zeta)$, which is a smooth mapping such that $\tilde{\zeta}_*(T_f \mathrm{Aut}(Z, J_Z; \gamma)) = a(Z, J_Z; \gamma)|_{f(\zeta)}$ by (i). Because of $a(Z, J_Z; \gamma)|_{f(\zeta)} = T_{f(\zeta)} Z$ for all $\zeta \in Z$, $\tilde{\zeta}(\mathrm{Aut}(Z, J_Z; \gamma))$ is open in Z. Note that $Z = \cup_{\zeta \in Z} \tilde{\zeta}(\mathrm{Aut}(Z, J_Z; \gamma))$ with $\tilde{\zeta}(\mathrm{Aut}(Z, J_Z; \gamma)) \cap \tilde{\zeta}'(\mathrm{Aut}(Z, J_Z; \gamma)) = \emptyset$ or $\tilde{\zeta}(\mathrm{Aut}(Z, J_Z; \gamma))$. Since Z is connected, $\tilde{\zeta}(\mathrm{Aut}(Z, J_Z; \gamma)) = Z$. If Z is simply connected, by H.C. Wang [21, (2.3)], the connected simply connected compact complex coset space Z is one orbit of a maximal connected complex semisimple Lie subgroup G_Z of $\mathrm{Aut}(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$. □

For a non-zero integer ℓ and a finite subset $\{f_0, \cdots, f_N\}$ of $\mathcal{O}^\ell(P, J)$, *the associated map*, *the associated projective map* and *the associated Hamiltonian vector bundle* are defined as $F := (f_0, \cdots, f_N) : P \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{C}_{N+1}$. $[F] := [f_0 : \cdots : f_N] : Z \longrightarrow P_N \boldsymbol{C}$ and $V(f_0, \cdots, f_N) := \{\sum_{j=0}^N c_j X_{f_j} | \ c_j \in \boldsymbol{C}\}$, where $[F]$ is well-defined as a mapping iff $F(P) \subseteqq \boldsymbol{C}_{N+1} \backslash 0$.

LEMMA 2.5. *Let $(P, J; R_\lambda(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times), p; \theta)$ be a symplectification of degree δ on a c-manifold $(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$. And ℓ, m be integers with $\ell \neq 0$, $m > 0$. Then:*

(i) *For a fixed $\eta \in P$ and a finite subset $\{f_0, \cdots, f_N\}$ of $\mathcal{O}^\ell(P, J)$, $V(f_0, \cdots, f_N)|_\eta = T_\eta P$ iff $F_{*\eta} : T_\eta P \longrightarrow T_{F(\eta)} \boldsymbol{C}_{N+1}$ is injective iff $[F]$ is well-defined around $p(\eta)$ and that $[F]_{*p(\eta)}$ is injective.*

(ii) *L_γ is immersionally ample of order m* (or *ample of degree m*) *iff there exists a finite subset $\{f_0, \cdots, f_N\}$ of $\mathcal{O}^m(P_\gamma, J_\gamma)$ such that the associated projective map $[F] : Z \longrightarrow P_N \boldsymbol{C}$ is well-defined as an immersion* (resp. *an embedding*). *In these cases, $V(f_0, \cdots, f_N)|_\eta = T_\eta P_\gamma$ at all $\eta \in P_\gamma$.*

(iii) *L_γ is immersional* (or *very ample*) *iff there exists a finite subset $\{f_0, \cdots, f_N\}$ of $\mathcal{O}^\delta(P, J)$ such that the associated projective map $[F] : Z \longrightarrow P_N \boldsymbol{C}$ is well-defined as an immersion* (resp. *embedding*). *In these cases, $(p_{\gamma *} V(f_0, \cdots, f_N))|_\zeta = T_\zeta Z$ at all $\zeta \in Z$.*

Proof. (i) Put $\zeta_j : \boldsymbol{C}_{N+1} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{C}; (z_0, \cdots, z_N) \mapsto z_j$ for $j \in \{0, 1, \cdots, N\}$, so that $W_{F(\eta)} := \{d_{F(\eta)} \zeta_j | \ j \in \{0, \cdots, N\}\}$ spans $T^{*'}_{F(\eta)} \boldsymbol{C}_{N+1}$. Then $F_{*\eta}$ is injective iff the dual map $F^*_\eta : T^{*'}_\eta \boldsymbol{C}_{N+1} \longrightarrow T^{*'}_\eta P$ is surjective iff $F^*_\eta W_{F(\eta)} = \{d_\eta f_j = F^*_\eta d\zeta | \ j \in \{0, \cdots, N\}\}$ spans $T^{*'}_\eta P$ iff $\{\Xi(df_j)|_\eta | \ j \in \{0, \cdots, N\}\}$ spans $T'_\eta P$ iff $V(f_0, \cdots, f_N)|_\eta = T_\eta P$. In this case, $F(\eta) \neq 0$ because of $F_{*\eta} B_1 = \ell \tilde{B}_1|_{F(\eta)} = 0$ iff $F(\eta) = 0$ for $0 \neq B_1$ and $\tilde{B}_1|_{F(\eta)} = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \tilde{R}_{e^t} F(\eta)$. If $[F]_*(p_{*\eta} X) = 0$ then $\tilde{p}_*(F_{*\eta} X) = 0$ and that $F_{*\eta} X = B_z|_{F(\eta)}$ for some $z \in \boldsymbol{C}$ so that $X = \frac{1}{\ell} B_z|_\eta$ and $p_* X = 0$, i.e. $[F]_{*p(\eta)}$ is injective. Conversely, assume that $F \neq 0$ on an open neighbourhood of η and that $[F]_{*p(\eta)}$ is injective. Take $X \in T_\eta P \backslash 0$. If $p_* X \neq 0$ then $\tilde{p}_*(F_* X) = [F]_*(p_* X) \neq 0$

and $F_*X \neq 0$. If $p_*X = 0$ then $X = B_z|_\eta$ for some $z \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times$ and $F_*X = \ell\tilde{B}_z|_{F(\eta)} \neq 0$. Hence, $F_{*\eta}$ is injective.

(ii) The last claim follows from (i). L_γ is immersionally ample (or ample) of order m iff there exists a finite subset $\{\varphi_0, \cdots, \varphi_N\}$ of $\Gamma(L_\gamma^{\otimes m})$ such that $[\Phi] : Z \longrightarrow P_N\boldsymbol{C}$ of $\Phi := (\iota_{L_\gamma^\otimes}(\varphi_0), \cdots, \iota_{L_\gamma^\otimes}(\varphi_N)) : P_{L_\gamma^{\otimes m}} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{C}_{N+1}$ is well-defined as an immersion (resp. embedding). In this case, put $F := \Phi \circ \nu_m : P_\gamma \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{C}_{N+1}^\times$. Then $[F] = [\Phi]$, which is well-defined as an immersion (resp. embedding). Put $(f_0, \cdots, f_N) := F$, so that $f_j = \iota_{L_\gamma}^m(\varphi_j) \in \mathcal{O}^m(P_\gamma, J_\gamma)$ $(j \in \{0, 1, \cdots, N\})$ by Lemma 0.1, as required. Coversely, assume that $[f_0 : \cdots : f_N] : Z \longrightarrow P_N\boldsymbol{C}$ is well-defined as an immersion (resp. embedding) for some $f_j \in \mathcal{O}^m(P_\gamma, J_\gamma)$. Then $f_j = \iota_{L_\gamma}^m(\varphi_j) = \iota_{L_\gamma^{\otimes m}}(\varphi_j) \circ \nu_m$ for some $\varphi_j := \Sigma_{L_\gamma}^m(f_j) \in \Gamma(L_\gamma^{\otimes m})$ by Lemma 0.1, so that $[\iota_{L_\gamma^{\otimes m}}(\varphi_0), \cdots, \iota_{L_\gamma^{\otimes m}}(\varphi_N)] = [f_0 : \cdots : f_N] : Z \longrightarrow P_N\boldsymbol{C}$, which is well-defined as an immersion (resp. embedding), as required.

(iii) As the case of $m = 1$ for (ii), L_γ is immersional (or very ample) iff $[g_0 : \cdots : g_N] : Z \longrightarrow P_N\boldsymbol{C}$ is an immersion (resp. embedding) for some finite subset $\{g_0, \cdots, g_N\}$ of $\mathcal{O}^1(P_\gamma, J_\gamma)$. In this case, taking $\mu : P \longrightarrow P_\gamma$ given in Corollary 1.7 (ii), put $f_j := \mu^* g_j \in \mathcal{O}^\delta(P, J)$, so that $[f_0, \cdots, f_N] = [g_0, \cdots, g_N] : Z \longrightarrow P_N\boldsymbol{C}$, which is an immersion (resp. embedding). Coversely, assume that $[f_0 : \cdots : f_N] : Z \longrightarrow P_N\boldsymbol{C}$ is an immersion (resp. embedding) for some $f_j \in \mathcal{O}^\delta(P_\gamma, J_\gamma)$. Then $(f_0, \cdots, f_N) = (g_0, \cdots, g_N) \circ \mu$ for some $g_j \in \mathcal{O}^1(P_\gamma, J_\gamma)$, so that $[g_0, \cdots, g_N] = [f_0, \cdots, f_N] : Z \longrightarrow P_N\boldsymbol{C}$ is an immersion (resp. embedding). Hence, the first claim is proved. And the last claim follows from the last claim of (ii) and Theorem 2.3. □

PROPOSITION 2.6. *For the adjoint variety $Z_{\mathcal{G}}$ of a complex simple Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ with the canonical c-structure $\gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$, the contact line bundle $L_{\gamma_{\mathcal{G}}}$ is very ample, so that $Z_{\mathcal{G}}$ is simply connected.*

Proof. By Example 1.4 (iii), $P_{\mathcal{G}}$ in $\mathcal{G}$ is a symplectifications of degree $\delta = 1$ on $(Z, J_Z; \gamma_{\mathcal{G}})$ as well as the standard symplectification $P_{\gamma_{\mathcal{G}}}$. By Corollary 1.7 (ii), there exists an isomorphism $\mu : P_{\mathcal{G}} \longrightarrow P_{\gamma_{\mathcal{G}}}$. Let $X_0, \cdots, X_N$ be a $\boldsymbol{C}$-linear basis of $\mathcal{G}$, and $\omega_0, \cdots, \omega_N$ the dual basis of $\mathcal{G}^*$, so that $(\omega_0, \cdots, \omega_N) : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{C}_{N+1}$ is a $\boldsymbol{C}$-linear isomorphism. For $i \in \{0, \cdots, N\}$, put $f_i := \omega_i \circ \mu^{-1} : P_{\gamma_{\mathcal{G}}} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{C}$. By Example 1.4 (iii), $\breve{R}_\lambda^* f_i = \mu^*(\tilde{R}_\lambda^* \omega_i) = \mu^*(\lambda \cdot \omega_i) = \lambda \cdot f_i$ for $\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times$. By Theorem 2.3 (or Lemma 0.1), there exists $\varphi_i \in \Gamma(L_{\gamma_{\mathcal{G}}})$ such that $f_i = \iota_{L_\gamma}(\varphi_i)$, so that $(\iota_{L_\gamma}(\varphi_0), \cdots, \iota_{L_\gamma}(\varphi_N)) = (f_0, \cdots, f_N) : P_{\gamma_{\mathcal{G}}} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{C}_{N+1}$ is an embedding. Hence, $L_{\gamma_{\mathcal{G}}}$ is very ample, especially it is positive. By Proposition 1.1 (ii), the first Chern class of (Z, J_Z) is positive, so that Z is simply connected by a theorem of S. Kobayashi [3, 11.26] □

Proof of Proposition 0.2. (0) Assume that $(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$ is a connected compact c-manifold with immersional contact line bundle L_γ. By Lemma 2.5 (iii), $(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$ is infinitesimally homogeneous. Since L_γ is immersional, it is positive, so that the first Chern class of (Z, J_Z) is positive by S. Kobayashi (Proposition 1.1 (ii)). Then Z is simply connected by S. Kobayashi [3, 11.26]. By H.C. Wang (Proposition 2.4 (ii)), $(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$ is homogeneous such that Z is one orbit of some connected complex semisimple Lie subgroup G_Z of $\mathrm{Aut}(Z, J_Z; \gamma)$. By an argument of W.M. Boothby [4, (2.2), Theorem A], if P_γ is not one orbit of G_P, then P_γ admits a global holomorphic section, so that $c_1(L_\gamma) = 0$, which contradicts with L_γ is positive. Hence, P_γ is one orbit of $G_P := \{g_P | \; g \in G_Z\}$, so that $T_\eta P_\gamma = \{X|_\eta | \; X \in \mathcal{G}_P\}$ at each $\eta \in P_\gamma$.

(1) Let $\mathcal{G}_Z$ be the complex Lie subalgebra of $(a(Z, J_Z; \gamma), J_Z)$ corresponding to G_Z. Put $\mathcal{G}_P := \{X_P | \; X \in \mathcal{G}_Z\} \subseteqq a^1(P_\gamma, J_\gamma)$, which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}_Z = dp_\gamma(\mathcal{G}_P)$ by dp_γ (Theorem 2.3). Let $\mathcal{G}_P^*$ be the dual $\boldsymbol{C}$-linear space of $\mathcal{G}_P$ with $< \omega, X > \in \boldsymbol{C}$ as the value of $\omega \in \mathcal{G}_P^*$ on $X \in \mathcal{G}_P$. According to A.A. Kirillov [10, p.234, (11); p.301], B. Kostant [14, (5.4.2)] and J.-M. Souriau [20, (11.10)] with Lemma 2.1 (iii), a moment map of degree one is defined as follows:

$$\mu_{\mathcal{G}_P} : P_\gamma \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_P^*; \eta \mapsto \mu_{\mathcal{G}}(\eta); < \mu_{\mathcal{G}_P}(\eta), X > := \theta_\gamma(X|_\eta),$$

where $\mu_{\mathcal{G}_P} \circ \breve{R}_\lambda = \lambda \mu_{\mathcal{G}_P}$ $(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times)$ by $\theta_\gamma(X|_{\breve{R}_\lambda \eta}) = \theta_\gamma(\breve{R}_{\lambda *}(X|_\eta)) = \lambda \theta_\gamma(X|_\eta)$ $(X \in \mathcal{G}_P \subseteqq a^1(P_\gamma, J_\gamma))$. For $g \in G_P$, put $\delta g : \mathcal{G}_P^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_P^*; \omega \mapsto (\delta g)\omega$ such that $< (\delta g)\omega, X > := < \omega, (dg^{-1})X >$, which defines the coadjoint action. Note that $\mu_{\mathcal{G}_P}(g\eta) = (\delta g)\mu_{\mathcal{G}_P}(\eta)$ $(\eta \in P_\gamma,\; g \in G_P)$ by $\theta(X|_{g\eta}) = \theta(g_*^{-1}(X|_{g\eta})) = \theta(((dg^{-1})X)|_\eta)$, so that the image $\mu_{\mathcal{G}_P}(P_\gamma)$ is one coadjoint orbit of G_P. Take a $\boldsymbol{C}$-linear basis $\{X_i | \; i = 1, \cdots, r\}$ of $\mathcal{G}_P$ with the dual basis $\{\omega_i | \; i = 1, \cdots, r\}$ of $\mathcal{G}_P^*$. For $i \in \{1, \cdots, r\}$, put $f_i := \theta_\gamma(X_i) \in \mathcal{O}^1(P_\gamma, J_\gamma)$, so that $\mu_{\mathcal{G}_P}(\eta) = \sum_{i=1}^r f_i(\eta)\omega_i$. By Theorem 2.3, $X_i = X_{f_i}$ and $df_i = -\iota_{X_i} d\theta_\gamma$. If $\mu_{\mathcal{G}_P *}(X) = 0$, then $0 = df_i(X) = d\theta_\gamma(X_i, X)$ for all $i \in \{1, \cdots, r\}$. Note that $d\theta_\gamma$ is non-degenerate and that X_i's span TP_γ by the step (0), so that $X = 0$. Hence, $\mu_{\mathcal{G}_P}$ is an immersion. For $X \in \mathcal{G}_P$, put $X^\flat \in \mathcal{G}_P^*$ such that $< X^\flat, Y > = B(X, Y)$ for $Y \in \mathcal{G}_P$ w.r.t. the Killing form B of $\mathcal{G}_P$, which is non-degenerate since $\mathcal{G}_P$ is semisimple in the step (0). Then $\flat : \mathcal{G}_P \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_P^*; X \mapsto X^\flat$ is a $\boldsymbol{C}$-linear isomorphism such that $(\delta g) \circ \flat = \flat \circ (dg)$ $(g \in G_P)$, because of $< (\delta g)X^\flat, Y > = < X^\flat, (dg^{-1})Y > = B(X, (dg^{-1})Y) = B((dg)X, Y) = < ((dg)X)^\flat, Y >$ for all $Y \in \mathcal{G}_P$. Put $\kappa := \flat^{-1} \circ \mu_{\mathcal{G}_P} : P_\gamma \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_P$. Then $\kappa \circ g = \flat^{-1} \circ \mu_{\mathcal{G}_P} \circ (\delta g) = \flat^{-1} \circ (\delta g) \circ \mu_{\mathcal{G}_P} = (dg) \circ \flat^{-1} \circ \mu_{\mathcal{G}_P} = (dg) \circ \kappa$ for all $g \in G_P$. Hence, κ is a holomorphic immersion onto one adjoint orbit of $\mathcal{G}_P$ by the inner automorphism group $\breve{G} := \{dg | \; g \in G_P\}$ on the complex semisimple Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}_P$.

Put $\kappa_Z : Z \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{C}P(\mathcal{G}_P); p_\gamma(\eta) \mapsto p_{\mathcal{G}_P}(\kappa(\eta))$, which is well-defined as a holomorphic immersion onto one adjoint ray orbit $\kappa_Z(Z)$ of $\breve{G}$ on $\boldsymbol{C}P(\mathcal{G}_P)$. Then the restriction to the image, $\kappa_Z|(Z, J_Z) \longrightarrow \kappa_Z(Z)$ is a covering map. Since Z is compact, the image $\kappa_Z(Z)$ is a closed complex submanifold of $\boldsymbol{C}P(\mathcal{G}_P)$, which is a projective algebraic variety by a theorem of W.L. Chow (cf. [6, p.217, p.36]).

(2) $\mathcal{G}_P$ *is simple* (cf. [4, (6.3)]): Let $\mathcal{G}_P = \oplus_{i=1}^k \mathcal{G}_{P,i}$ be the direct-sum decomposition into simple ideals $\mathcal{G}_{P,i}$ with the correspoding Lie subgroups $\breve{G}_i$ of $\breve{G}$, where $g_i \in G_i$ acts as $g_i(\sum_j X_j) = g_i X_i + \sum_{j \neq i} X_j$ for $X_j \in \mathcal{G}_{P,j}$. Then $\breve{G} = \Pi_{i=1}^k \breve{G}_i$. Take some $\eta \in P_\gamma$. Then $\kappa(\eta) \neq 0$ since κ is a $\boldsymbol{C}^\times$-equivariant immersion. And there exist $\kappa_i(\eta) \in \mathcal{G}_{P,i}$ $(i = 1, \cdots, k)$ such that $\kappa(\eta) = \sum_{i=1}^k \kappa_i(\eta)$. Take j such that $\kappa_j(\eta) \neq 0$. Suppose that there is another j' such that $\kappa_{j'}(\eta) \neq 0$. Then $\breve{\eta} := \sum_{i \neq j'} \kappa_i(\eta) \neq 0$. And $\breve{\eta} \notin \breve{G}\kappa(\eta) = \kappa(P_\gamma)$, since the $\mathcal{G}_{P,j'}$-component of $\breve{\eta}$ is zero. On the other hand, for any $\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}^\times$, $\lambda\kappa(\eta) = \kappa(\breve{R}_\lambda \eta) \in P_{\mathcal{G}_P} = G\kappa(\eta)$, so that there exists $\breve{G} \ni g(\lambda) =: (g_1(\lambda), \cdots, g_k(\lambda))$ such that $\lambda\kappa(\eta) = g(\lambda)\kappa(\eta)$, i.e. $\lambda\kappa_i(\eta) = g_i(\lambda)\kappa_i(\eta)$ $(i = 1, \cdots, k)$. With respect to the Euclidian metric topology of $\mathcal{G}_P$, $\mathcal{G}_P\backslash 0 \ni \breve{\eta} = \sum_{i \neq j'} \kappa_i(\eta) + \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \lambda\kappa_{j'}(\eta) = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} g_{j'}(\lambda)\kappa(\eta)$, where $g_{j'}(\lambda)\kappa(\eta) \in \breve{G}_{j'}\kappa(\eta) \subseteqq \breve{G}\kappa(\eta) = \kappa(P_\gamma)$. With the canonical projection $p_{\mathcal{G}_P} : \mathcal{G}_P\backslash 0 \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{C}P(\mathcal{G}_P)$, $\breve{\zeta} := p_{\mathcal{G}_P}(\breve{\eta}) = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} p_{\mathcal{G}_P}(g_{j'}(\lambda)\kappa(\eta))$ is contained in the closure of $\kappa_Z(Z)$ in $\boldsymbol{C}P(\mathcal{G}_P)$, so that $\breve{\zeta} \in \kappa_Z(Z)$ since $\kappa_Z(Z)$ is closed in $\boldsymbol{C}P(\mathcal{G}_P)$. Hence, $p_{\mathcal{G}_P}(\breve{\eta}) \in p_{\mathcal{G}_P}(\kappa(P_\gamma))$, so that $\breve{\eta} \in \kappa(P_\gamma)$, which contradicts with the first observation. Then $\kappa(\eta) = \kappa_j(\eta)$ and $\kappa(P_\gamma) = \breve{G}\kappa(\eta) = \breve{G}\kappa_j(\eta)$, so that $\breve{G}_i$ $(i \neq j)$ acts trivially on $\kappa(P_\gamma)$. Hence, $\mathcal{G}_P = \mathcal{G}_{P,j}$, which is a complex simple Lie algebra.

(3) (Z, J_Z) *is biholomorphic to* $Z_{\mathcal{G}_P}$ (cf. [4, Theorem B]): Take a Cartan subalgebra $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{G}_P$ and the set $\triangle_+$ of all positive roots with respect to some lexicographic ordering on $\mathcal{H}^*_{\boldsymbol{R}}$. Then $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{H} \oplus (\oplus_{\alpha \in \triangle_+} \boldsymbol{C}e_\alpha)$ is a Borel subalgebra of $\mathcal{G}_P$ such that $\breve{B} := \{\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{G}_P) |\ \alpha\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}\}$ is an algebraic subgroup of a complex algebraic group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{G}_P)$ with the Lie algebra $\mathcal{B}$, so that the identity connected component $\breve{B}^\circ$ of $\breve{B}$ in the real topology is solvable. Note that $\breve{B}^\circ$ equals the identity irreducible component of $\breve{B}$ in the Zariski topology [17, Chapter 3, §3, 1°]. By a theorem of A. Borel [17, p.117, Theorem 9], $\breve{B}^\circ$ admits a fixed point on the projective algebraic variety $\kappa_Z(Z)$, so that there exists $\breve{\zeta} \in \kappa_Z(Z)$ such that $\breve{B}^\circ\breve{\zeta} = \breve{\zeta}$. Take $\eta \in P_\gamma$ such that $\breve{\zeta} = p_{\mathcal{G}_P}(\kappa(\eta))$, where $\kappa(\eta) = h + \sum_{\alpha \in \triangle} c_\alpha e_\alpha$ for some $h \in \mathcal{H}$, $c_\alpha \in \boldsymbol{C}$. Then $[\mathcal{B}, \kappa(\eta)] \subseteqq \boldsymbol{C}\kappa(\eta)$, so that $h = 0$ and $c_\alpha = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \triangle\backslash\{\rho\}$ with the highest root ρ. Hence, $\kappa(\eta) = c_\rho e_\rho$ with $c_\rho \neq 0$ because

of $P_\gamma \neq \emptyset$. Put $\eta' := \breve{R}_{c_\rho^{-1}}\eta \in P_\gamma$. Then $e_\rho = c_\rho^{-1}\kappa(\eta) = \kappa(\eta') \in \kappa(P_\gamma)$, so that $\kappa(P_\gamma) = \breve{G}e_\rho = P_{\mathcal{G}_P}$ and $\kappa_Z(Z) = Z_{\mathcal{G}_P}$, where the notations $P_{\mathcal{G}_P}$ and $Z_{\mathcal{G}_P}$ are given in Example 1.4 (iii). Since $Z_{\mathcal{G}_P}$ is simply connected (Propostion 2.6), the covering map $\kappa_Z|(Z, J_Z) \longrightarrow Z_{\mathcal{G}_P}$ is biholomorphic.

(4) For $X \in \mathcal{G}_P$, put $X^R|_{dg} := \frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} (dg) \circ e^{t\ \mathrm{ad}(X)} \in T_{dg}\breve{G}$ with $g \in G_P$. Then $\theta_\gamma(X|_{\eta'}) =< \mu_{\mathcal{G}_P}(\eta'), X >= B(\flat^{-1}(\mu_{\mathcal{G}_P}(\eta')), X) = B(\kappa(\eta'), X) = B(e_\rho, X) = \theta_{\breve{G}}(X^R)$ at the point η' in the step (3). By Example 1.4 (iii-0), $\theta_{\breve{G}}(X^R) = \theta_{\mathcal{G}_P}(\pi_{P*1}X^R) = \theta_{\mathcal{G}_P}(\frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} e^{t\ \mathrm{ad}(X)}e_\rho) = \theta_{\mathcal{G}_P}(\kappa_*(X|_{\eta'}))$. Hence, $\theta_\gamma(X|_{\eta'}) = \theta_{\mathcal{G}_P}(\kappa_*(X|_{\eta'}))$. Because of $\{X|_{\eta'}|\ X \in \mathcal{G}_P\} = T_{\eta'}P_\gamma$, $\theta_\gamma|_{\eta'} = (\kappa^*\theta_{\mathcal{G}_P})|_{\eta'}$. For any $g \in G_P$, $\theta_\gamma|_{g\eta'} = \theta_\gamma|_{\eta'} \circ g_{*g\eta'}^{-1} = (\kappa^*\theta_{\mathcal{G}_P})|_{\eta'} \circ g_{*g\eta'}^{-1} = \theta_{\mathcal{G}_P}|_{\kappa(\eta')} \circ \kappa_{*\eta'} \circ g_{*g\eta'}^{-1} = \theta_{\mathcal{G}_P}|_{\kappa(\eta')} \circ (dg)_{*\kappa(g\eta')}^{-1} \circ \kappa_{*g\eta'} = \theta_{\mathcal{G}_P}|_{\kappa(g\eta')} \circ \kappa_{*g\eta'} = \kappa^*\theta_{\mathcal{G}_P}|_{g\eta'}$, so that $\kappa_*(\ker\ \theta_\gamma) = \ker\ \theta_{\mathcal{G}_P}$. Then $\kappa_{Z*}E_\gamma = \kappa_{Z*}(p_{\gamma*}(\ker\ \theta_\gamma)) = p_{\mathcal{G}_P*}(\kappa_*(\ker\ \theta_\gamma)) = p_{\mathcal{G}_P*}(\ker\ \theta_{\mathcal{G}_P}) = E_{\gamma_{\mathcal{G}_P}}$, i.e. $\kappa_Z|(Z, J; \gamma) \longrightarrow (Z_{\mathcal{G}_P}; \gamma_{\mathcal{G}_P})$ is isomorphic, so that L_γ and $L_{\gamma_{\mathcal{G}_P}}$ are isomorphic. Since $L_{\gamma_{\mathcal{G}_P}}$ is very ample (Proposition 2.6), so is L_γ. $\square$

Acknowledgement. The author is indebted to Masataka Tomari, Claude LeBrun, the late Masaru Takeuchi, Yasuyuki Nagatomo, Ryoichi Kobayashi, an anonymous referee of JMSJ, Jaroslaw Buczynski and Bogdan Alexandrov, who very kindly pointed out some crucial mistakes in the previous versions. The author is grateful to Shigeru Mukai, Tomonori Noda and Hajime Kaji for their advice in differential or algebraic geometry on the previous versions.

References

[1] V.I. Arnold, *Mathematical Methods in Classical Mechanics*, Nauka, 1974 (*in Russian*); Springer-Verlag, 1978.

[2] A. Beauville, Fano contact manifolds and nilpotent orbits, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **73** (1998), 566–583.

[3] A.L. Besse, *Einstein Manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, 1987.

[4] W.M. Boothby, Homogeneous complex contact manifolds, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* **3** (1961) (Differential Geometry), AMS, 144–154.

[5] W.M. Boothby, A note on homogeneous complex contact manifolds, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **13** (1962), 276–280.

[6] K. Fritzsche & H. Grauert, *From Holomorphic Functions to Complex Manifolds*, GTM **213**, Springer-Verlag, 2002.

[7] M. Goto & F.D. Grosshans, *Semisimple Lie Algebras*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., 1978.

[8] H. Kaji, M. Ohno & O. Yasukura, Adjoint varieties and their secant varieties, *Indag. Math.* **10** (1999), 45–57.

[9] H. Kaji & O. Yasukura, Projective geometry of Freudenthal's varieties of certain type, *Michigan Math. J.* **52** (2004), 515–542.

[10] A.A. Kirillov, *Elements of the Theory of Represenations*, GMW **220**, Springer-Verlag, 1976.

[11] S. Kobayashi, Remarks on complex contact manifolds, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **10** (1959), 164–167.

[12] S. Kobayashi, *Transformation Groups in Differential Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1972.

[13] S. Kobayashi & K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, Volume I, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1963.

[14] B. Kostant, Quantization and unitary representations, *Lectures in Modern Analysis and Applications III*, LNM **170**, Springer-Verlag, 1970; pp. 87–208.

[15] C. LeBrun & S. Salamon, Strong rigidity of positive quaternion-Kähler manifolds, *Invent. Math.* **118** (1994), 109–132.

[16] T. Nitta & M. Takeuchi, Contact structures on twistor spaces, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **39** (1987), 139–162.

[17] A.L. Onishchik & E.B. Vinberg, *Lie Groups and Algebraic Groups*, Nauka, Moscow, 1988 (*in Russian*); Springer-Verlag, 1990.

[18] T. Oshima & H. Komatsu, *Partial Differential Equations of First Order*, Iwanami-Shoten, Tokyo, 1977 (*in Japanese*).

[19] J.-P. Serre, *Lie Algebras and Lie Groups*, LNM **1500**, Springer-Verlag, 1992.

[20] J.-M. Souriau, *Structure of Dynamical Systems: A Symplectic View of Physics*, Birkhäuser, 1997.

[21] H.C. Wang, Closed manifolds with homogeneous complex structure, *Amer. J. Math.* **76** (1954), 1–32.

[22] J.A. Wolf, Complex homogeneous contact manifolds and quaternionic symmetric spaces, *J. Math. and Mechanics* **14** (1965), 1033–1047.

[23] O. Yasukura, Quaternion-Kähler manifokds of positive scalar curvature, First MSJ Institute on Geometry and Global Analysis, July 12-23, 1998, Tohoku Univ., Sendai, Japan; *Lecture Notes*, Volume **2**, pp.399–402. (unpublished)

University of Fukui, 910-8507, Japan
E-mail address: yasukura@apphy.u-fukui.ac.jp